INQUIRY CONCERNING A JUDGE NO. 5

DECEMBER 5,1975

PAGE2**2687** - 2833

THE STATE LAW LIBRARY

BEFORE THE

STATE JUDICIAL QUALIFICATIONS COMMISSION

INQUIRY CONCERNING A JUDGE, NO. 5

DECEMBER 5, 1975

KFT 8782 C3T45

1	THE MASTER: Are you ready to proceed?
2	MR. ODAM: Yes, Your Honor.
3	MR. MITCHELL: Yes, Judge.
4	THE MASTER: Do you have a statement to
5 .	make this morning, Mr. Mitchell?
· 6	MR. MITCHELL: Yes, Your Honor, we have
7	Arnulfo Guerra subpoensed and he is present.
8	THE MASTER: He is the District Attorney
9	of 229th District, is he not?
10	MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir, he is the
11	District Attor: v of the 229th Judicial
12	District and was at all times celibate, and
13	still is the District Attorney.
14	I would like to note for the record
15	and to the Court that Mr. Guerra testified
16	before the committee in Austin.
17	THE MASTER: That is the House Select
18	Committee that met in May?
19	MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir, and the
20	transcript of the proceeding before the
21	Texas State House of Representatives, the
22	House Select Committee on Impeachment,
23	Volume 7 and 8 contains his testimony.
24.	I have requested of Counsel for the
25	Examiner the possibility of a stipulation
	Ti and the state of the state o

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

that -- that if Mr. Guerra is on the witness stand and the same questions are out to him that were out there, his answers would, of course, be the same and Counsel has so agreed.

We would like, subject to the approval of the Court, to stimulate the record -- into the record Mr. Guerra's testimony, that he is here, and if called to the stand, and the same questions put to him, and the same answers would by forthcoming as per Volume7 Page 226 of the transcript of proceedings before the Texas State House of Representatives on June 4, 1975, and also, Your Honor, this volume -- and continuing into Volume 8, transcript of proceedings before the Texas State House of Representatives to and including -- the reason there is a delay, Your Honor, is his testimony is followed by an A. Guerra who is his brother and this would be to and including Volume 8, 249, page 249.

THE MASTER: All right, who is -well, first of all, Mr. Examiner, do you
so stipulate?

MR. FLUSCHE: We stipulate to the truth

24 25

of the matters contained therein --

THE MASTER: That isn't the stimulation.

The stimulation is that if Mr. Guerra were called to testify in the proceeding and asked those same questions, he would give those same answers.

MR. FLUSCHE: Yes, sir, we will stipulate to that.

THE MASTER: All right.

MR. FLUSCHE: However, we would object to the introduction of this because of it's materiality or lack of materiality and lack of relevancy.

The testimony has to do with Arnulfo Guerra's race for the District Attorney, the time he was annointed, the manner in which he came into office, the expenses of the election. It has to --

THE MASTER: But the point is, he is offering it. If I sustain the objection, you will offer it on a bill is that correct?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE MASTER: And there is no point in telling me what the evidence is about. Let me read it and make my ruling. I understand

your objection.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

CHATHAM & ASSOCIATES 717 ANTELOPE - GUARANTY BANK PLAZA CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS 78401

MR. FLUSCHE: All right, sir.

MR. MITCHELL: Very good, Judge. think that is correct.

THE MASTER: Now, whose responsibility is it to get it into the record?

MR. MITCHELL: I will offer it at this point, Your Honor, and request that the reporter simply transcribe, beginning at -on Volume 7, page 226, containing through and including Valume 8 as previously indicated in this record in a Q and A form as it appears in the transcript, Judge, and I will deliver it to the reporter for that purpose the two volumes that I have -- my personal volumes with the request that they be redelivered to me upon the completion of the transmint.

THE MASTER: That is satisfactory.

MR. MITCHELL: And I understand the objection, Your Honor, and autte candidly with the Court, there are some portions that are immaterial and irrelevant. I ampreciate that, but we deem some portions vital and relevant and they are vitally material.

MR. ODAM: Is there any way -- I am wondering that -- you know what I am going to say and that is that all of that typed up nortion, approximately a hundred and fifty pages which is there and which we also have a copy of here need not be retyped and put into this record for a hundred and fifty pages.

MR. FLUSCHE: It is about four hundred pages.

MR. ODAM: About four hundmed pages, it could be marked as an Exhibit and have the same purpose and effect, if those pages which are already typed up could be inserted with page numbers at this particular place.

My only question is, I hate to take the time and a little bit of expense in retyping four hundred pages of testimony that is already typed up. It is just extraordinary.

(Discussion off the record.)

THE MASTER: Well, now, let's see, you are offering Mr. Guerra as a witness, is that correct?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, Your Honor, and he is here.

THE MASTER: And you are still offering him in effect out of turn because, as I understand --

MR. MITCHELL: That's right.

THE MASTER: The Examiner has not yet rested.

MR. MITCHELL: That's right, Judge Meyers, that is correct.

THE MASTER. Now, with respect to your reduest, you just get with Mr. Vernon, there may be a way that some expense can be saved.

MR. ODAM: Let me ask --

MR. MITCHELL: I have no comment on that whatsoever, Mr. Odam. I am sure that that can be handled correctly and I would have no suggestion -- whatever is satisfactory with you, and the reporter and the Court, certainly is fine.

MR. ODAM: And you would have no objection if we were to work out that these pages right here were to come in right now, those xeroxed pages, as the testimony right here?

MR. MITCHELL: No. I would not.

MR. ODAM: As a nart of this record.

MR. MITCHELL: I would not, Mr. Odem.

THE MASTER: Mr. Odam, somewhere along the line you have got to call your last witness, I guess Mr. Couling, and get through with him. I guess Mr. Mitchell is still cross-examining Mr. Couling, isn't he?

MR. ODAM: He massed him back to our redirect examination and if Mr. Mitchell is ready to proceed with our redirect, we are ready to proceed.

THE MASTER: He massed him back but he reserved --

MR. MITCHELL: That's right, Your Honor.

THE MASTER: -- the right for considerable more cross-examination, not just in reply to anything you might do this morning, but you had some matters you wanted to en into as a result of the instruments that were delivered yesterday.

MR. MITCHELL: That's right, that is correct. Your Honor, and I -- and I represent to the Court will not take me over probably fifteen minutes, if I might be able to proceed. It is a question really of

1 documentary and housecleaning on --2 THE MASTER: But Mr. Odam has him now 3 and he may want to ask him some questions. MR. MITCHELL: Fine. I have no --5 THE MASTER: Would one of you all step 6 out and get Mr. Couling? 7 MR. MITCHELL: I'll be glad to. MS. LEVATINO: I have to go out any-9 way, I will get him, thank you. 10 MR. ODAM: Do you have the deposit slips 11 that you examined yesterday? 12 MR. MITCHELL: They have been put back 13 in the file. 14 MR. ODAM: All right, this is our box 15 and this would be the last Exhibits. 16 MR. MITCHELL: That would be, of course 17 do you have the numbers, Mr. Odam? 18 MR. ODAM: Yes, here they are. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

RUDOLFO COULING,

recalled as a witness, having been previously sworn, testified as follows, to-wit:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. ODAM:

- Q Mr. Couling, I wish, first of all, to remind you that when you testified before, you are still under oath to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, do you understand that?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Mr. Couling, I show you what has been marked by the court reporter as E-173-1, and for your information, when we talked about these the other day, these are the bank photostatic copies of deposit slips. I am referring to E-173-1 through 173-28 and I will ask you to very briefly, for the purpose of refreshing your recollection, review these and then I will have some questions to ask you about them.
 - A Okay, sir.
 - Q Have you had a chance to look at the deposit slips?

1	A	Yes, sir.
2	Q	Can you state whether or not these are deposited
3		in the Rio Grande City bank account and are
4		deposits of the Benavides Implement and Hardware?
5	A	Yes, sir.
6	Q	Have you had the time to determine the total
7		amount of deposits to that account?
8	A	Yes, sir, I made notes to that effect.
9	Q	Do you have those with you?
10	A	Yes, sir.
11	 	MR. MITCLELL: For the record, may I
12		ask counsel, are those the deposits in the
13		Rio Grande City account?
14		MR. ODAM: Yes, sir.
15		MR. MITCHELL: And only that account?
16		MR. ODAM: Yes, sir.
17		MR. MITCHELL: I said that, Your Honor,
18		to be sure that the record reflected that
19		correctly. There is a difference in the
20		figures between that account and the other.
21		THE MASTER: Very well.
22	Q	(By Mr. Odam) Again, for clarification, we are
23		referring to here, the total deposits in the
24		Rio Grande City bank account?
25	A	Yes.

What did you calculate to be the total amount of Q 1 deposits? 2 3 Α Thirty-nine thousand, seven hundred ninety-six dollars twenty-five cents. And in looking through the deposit slips here, 5 Q 6 E-173-1 through 173-28, did you notice whether or not it indicates on the deposit slip the deposits 7 8 of checks from Duval County. 9 On some of them. Α 10 Q And they are marked on the deposit slips which ones are those? 11 12 Α Yes, sir. 13 I show you what has been marked as E-173-15 and Q 14 ask you to examine that a moment. Can you identify for the record what items appear on 173-15? 15 MR. MITCHELL: You said 173-315? 16 MR. ODAM: No. 173-15. 17 18 Q (By Mr. Odam) Go ahead. 19 There are two deposits on May 3rd, 1974, at the Α First State Bank and Trust of Rio Grande City. 20 21 One of them is Check 417 and another one is a 22 transfer from equity from the account of 0. P. 23 Carrillo, fifteen dollars. I believe it was your testimony yesterday that 24 Q Mr. Mitchell asked you a question about on the 25

1		checks to Plains Machinery, do you recall there
2		was a check that was bounced and came back?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	I believe you said at that time you deposited
5 .		forty dollars in the account?
6	A	Yes, sir.
7	Q	Would you agree that 173-15 is evidence of that
8		deposit of forty dollars?
9	A	Yes, sir.
10		MR. MITCHELL: That is an improper
11		question, when he asked about is that
12		evidence of.
13		THE MASTER: I did not take it as
14		legal evidence.
15		MR. MITCHELL: I will withdraw the
16		objection with that explanation.
17	Q	(By Mr. Odam) All right. The deposit you made
18		for forty dollars would be the deposit slip here
19		in the amount of forty dollars, would that be
20		indicative of the forty dollars you deposited to
21		make up that difference?
22	. A	Yes, sir.
. 23	Q	And on this same sheet, would the fifteen dollars
24		in transfer from the account of O. P. Carrillo,
25		per O. P. Carrillo's instructions of fifteen

dollars, would that be indicative of a deposit into the bank account, as evidenced by 162, and this statement for the month ending May 31st, 1974?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q So to summarize, those two statements, 173-15, would be the indication of these two deposits of fifteen dollars and forty dollars to bring the balance up enough to cover that three thousand dollar check?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Mr. Couling, I will show you now Exhibits 172-1 through 172-31, and I will state to you these are, according to earlier testimony and the stipulation, these are photostatic copies of checks from the Rio Grande City bank brought here or sent here by Jim Bates, and these were intended to be all of the checks written on the account of the Benavides Implement and Hardware.

MR. MITCHELL: Your Honor, we had an agreement that the yellow slips were not a part of that package and I would like to have them removed. They have remarks on them.

THE MASTER: Those remarks are not

admissible, clearly. 1 MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 3 (By Mr. Odam) All right. The first exhibit, Q 172-1, and I state to the record, it is not my . 4 intention to go through and identify these checks, 5 6 all of them. Can you identify that item, 172-1? 7 It is a check on November 15, 1972, to Massey-8 Α Ferguson for two thousand nine hundred seventy-9 five dollars twenty-five cents. 10 11 And this check you _ : ferred to, 172-1, is this Q 12 one and the same check you signed to transfer 13 money to Massey-Ferguson? 14 Α Yes, sir. 15 What was the purpose of that check? Q O. P. said I should send a check for that amount 16 A 17 to Massey-Ferguson and it came back and I had 18 already testified it was a down payment or a 19 regular payment, but it was a check issued on 20 O. P. Carrillo's instructions. 21 It was a payment or down payment to Massey-Ferguson Q 22 on O. P. Carrillo's instructions? 23 A Yes, sir. 24 Q Have you had an opportunity to total up the total 25 amount of checks on the account?

1 A Yes, sir. 2 Can you state what the total amount of checks 0 3 were that were written on the account? Thirty-nine thousand seven hundred fifty-six Α 5 dollars four cents. 6 And have you had an opportunity to -- well, that Q 7 series of checks you have before you, the copies 8 that includes. I take it, the checks you testified 9 earlier to to B. D. Holt and Plains Machinery 10 and Massey-Ferguson? 11 Α Yes. 12 What would be the total of those checks? Q 13 Thirty-three thousand six hundred twenty-five A 14 dollars thirty-five cents. 15 And if you take the total amount of checks Q 16 written on the account and you subtract the 17 amount of checks written for Plains and B. D. 18 Holt and Massey-Ferguson and so forth for the 19 equipment, do you know what the difference is 20 between the checks for the equipment, that is, 21 Massey-Ferguson and B. D. Holt and Plains 22 Machinery and the rest of the checks, what that 23 difference is? 24

Six thousand one hundred fifty dollars sixty-

25

four cents.

MR. MITCHELL: How many cents? 1 THE WITNESS: Sixty-four cents. 2 3 (By Mr. Odam) So the total of -- I think you Q testified thirty-nine thousand seven hundred 5 fifty-six dollars four cents was the total deposit, so of that there is only six thousand and some 6 odd written for payments other t.an equipment? 7 Yes, and including the note payment. 8 Α How much is that? 9 Q 10 Two thousand six hundred fifty-eight dollars A 11 fifty-eight cents, a believe. Yes, it is two 12 thousand six hundred and fifty-eight dollars 13 fifty-eight cents, sir. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

25

Let me ask you, I believe you testified when you were first put on as a witness by the Examiners some time ago, that you -- what your employment record was and I believe you testified that you at one time worked in the oil fields, is that correct?

1	A	That's correct, sir.
2	Q	And when you left the oil field, what job did
3		you go to them?
4	A	Thelieve I stayed around the store on and off, six ,
5		and then in 1962 I was approached by O. P. and
6		said if I wanted to be a tax collector for the
7.		Benavides Independent School District and I did,
8		and I went to work in around October or November
9	!	of 1962, sir.
10	o	Now, in October of 1962, when you went to work
11.		for the school dist. ct, that was the tax assessor-
12		collector?
13	A	Tax collector.
.,14	o	And I believe you testified to it earlier and I
15		am not sure, but at that time, what was Judge
16		Carrillo's position with respect to the school
17		board or, in other words, what authority did he
18		have to ask you if you wanted that job?
19	A	He was running for office then, sir.
20	Q	He was running for the school board?
21 _	A	Yes, sfr.
22	Q	And when he asked you if you wanted that job,
23	<u>.</u>	what wa s your reply to him?
24	A	Well, if he got elected, I would be glad to
25		work.
	II .	

1 And I take it that he was elected? 2 Yes, sir. 3 And was -- and what nosition did he hold on the school board? 5 He was -- I don't know how long he was a regular 6 board member, but I don't believe it was very 7 He was president of the board for the 8 school district, sir. 9 He was president of the school board? 10 Yes, sir. A 11 And you at that time vere the --12 Tax collector. 13 Tax collector for the school board? 14 Α Yes. 15 Now, after he was elected to the school board, 16 did he have any conversation with you at all 17 with respect to the writing of these checks or 18 any other checks on these accounts? 19 MR. MITCHELL: Excuse me, Your Honor, 20 I don't think there would, of course, be 21 any relevancy of any conversation that 22 occurred in 1962 or 1963 and we would like . 23 to assert, certainly, that these questions 24 go way beyond the confines of the formal

notice and the informal notice as well as

25

and immaterial to the structure of the --

THE MASTER: The question was not clear to me because his question was did you have any conversation about these checks?

Now, what checks are you talking about?

MR. ODAM: I am sorry, let me clarify at. That is a very good point.

I said these checks, I did not mean, Mr. Couling, the checks that were written during these years up in 1971, past and let me see if I can clarify that.

When I referred to these checks, I was referring to not only those checks just stated, but to the checks, for example, that Mr. Mitchell has asked you about on cross-examination with respect to your credibility, the checks that he said you forged, the checks where money went to 0. P. Carrillo. I am referring to those checks were Mr. Mitchell went back for a number of years.

MR. MITCHELL: I would still object, those were 68 -- the tail end of 68, 69, 70 and the Court is aware of the ones that are in evidence, 65, here as Exhibit E-65, as 71 and the 151 series is 71 and we say

. 5

it is extremely remote, Your Honor, and immaterial and irrelevant.

THE MASTER: But, if he's going into some checks that you asked this witness about, he has the right to explain anything that needs explaining.

MR. MITCHELL: I have no objection to him asking about 1968. It is 1962 that bothers me.

- Q Well, 1962 is when Judge Carrillo came on as president of the scient board?
- A Yes, sir.
- Now, we are talking about the checks in 1968.

 Again, I am sorry about my question as to the specificity of it, I didn't mean necessarily 1962.

Let's move up to the checks in 1968 that Mr. Mitchell is referring to and he asked you about.

Once Judge Carrillo came on as president of the school board and you were serving as tax collector, my question to you now is did you have any conversations with Judge Carrillo about that series of checks Mr. Mitchell asked you about?

A Yes, sir.

B

- O What was that enversation?
- A That when we needed money, see if I could make some invoices and get bills out and produce checks. I can give him some money and he would give me part of it back to me, give me something back -- give part of it back to me, sir.
- Q Why did you do that?

MR. ODAM: Strike that question.

Q Did you think that that was a legal -- I know that calls for a legal conclusion on your part, did just let me ask if you thought that was the proper thing to be doing, lawful thing to be doing on your part, to be taking part in such a scheme.

MR. MITCHELL: Your Honor, I want to object to that, if the man is on the stand and has admitted forgery and admitted ripping off the county. I think it speaks for itself, whether it is improper or not is a question for this Court to pass on his credibility.

THE MASTER: The objection is overruled.

MR. MITCHELL: Note our exception.

- Q Did you understand the question I am asking?
- A Yes.

1 0 Why --2 MR. ODAM: Strike that. 3 Did you think that was the legal or proper thing 4 to do? 5 I did, but I didn't question it, sir. A 6 You did think it was legal? 0 7 No. that it was illegal. Α 8 Why didn't you question it? 0 9 We were good friends and I figured if I ques-10 tioned it, I was going to be told it was none of 11 my business. I was working for them and I am 12 supposed to do what they wanted. 13 When you say "they", who are you talking about, 0 14 when you say "thev"? 15 O. P. Carrillo, sir. Α 16 So pursuant to Judge Carrillo's instructions, 17 you began to write out those checks in 1968 of 18 the Benavides Independent School District, is 19 that correct? 20 That's correct, sir. Α 21 And I will not go back through it as to the 22 reasons why you continued with the Benavides

23

24

25

that.

Implement and Hardware and the county checks,

that is already in evidence and T won't discuss

Again, the nurnose of my asking you that duestion in response to Mr. Mitchell's questions why you would gratuitously write out those checks to Judge Carrillo, do you understand that?

MR. ODAM: Pass the witness.

MR. MITCHELL: Your Honor, may I call
Octavio Hinojosa out of order so we can
document certain checks from the Benavides
Implement and Hardware, from the Duval
County Conservation and Reclamation District, and from the county and school district preparatory to questioning this witness as to some of those items. May I
have leave of Court to do that, please, sir?

THE MASTER: Mr. Couling, I will ask you to step down and wait for a while.

MR. ODAM: No objection.

MR. MITCHELL: May I be permitted to call Mr. Hinojosa, who is out in the hall.

Judge Meyers?

THE MASTER: Yes, sir.

OCTAVIO HINOJOSA,

having been previously sworn, recalled as a witness, and testified upon his oath as follows:

EXAMINATION

Q Your name is Octavio Hinojosa?

A Yes, sir.

BY MR. MITCHELL:

- Q You have previously testified on two occasions, have you not, Mr. Hampiosa?
- A Yes, str.
- O And the Court notes and reminds you you are still under oath.

I asked you on a previous -- I believe you were asked by the Attorney General and myself to compile for us a schedule showing Benavides Implement and Hardware Company checks, that is, checks to Benavides Implement and Hardware from the Benavides Independent School District, from the Duval County Conservation and Reclamation District and from Duval County commencing in 71 and running through and up to date, am I correct, and these documents came that you brought to us today represent summaries of those checks

1	to Benavides Implement and Hardware from the
2	school district, the water district and the Duval
3	County?
4	A Mr. Mitchell, I don't know anything about the
5	water district or the Benavides Independent School
6	District. I didn't prepare those schedules.
7	MR. MITCHELL: Well, I understand that
8	you went by and picked up the ones that
9	are outside of your jurisdiction and I sun-
10	pose pursuant to a stipulation, it would be
11	stipulated to be correct, am I correct,
12	Counsel?
13	MR. ODAM: Yes, and I can clarify that
14	for the record if you would like.
15	MR. MITCHELL: Yes, let me mark them
16	and I think we should properly mark them.
17	(Marked for identification by the
18	·
19	reporter as R-91, R-92 and R-93.)
20	MR. MITCHELL: As to R-91, which is
21	the schedule of accounts of Duval County
22	THE MASTER: It is the schedule of
23	what checks?
24	MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir, I was going
25	to ask the witness.

THE MASTER: All right.

MR. MITCHELL: Strike that, maybe perhaps I have got the wrong witness. These were actually but together by various agencies and brought together under an agreement with Counsel and actually Mr. Hinojosa has simply acted as the courier for them. He does not, as indicated by his answer to the questions, have predicate knowledge.

I suppose I will have to count on Mr.

Odam to make a scipulation for me on that, if I can make it: That it is stipulated that R-91, 92 and 93 reflect, Your Honor, the date of checks, check numbers, claim numbers, the amount and the funds, the budget code of monies going into -- and R-91 now from the County of Duval to Benavides Implement and Hardware, and R-92 from Benavides Independent School District to the Benavides Implement and Hardware and R-93 Duval County Conservation and Reclamation District, into Benavides Implement and Hardware Company.

These were brought over by Mr. Hinojosa, delivered to Mr. Odam, who in turn delivered

them to me this morning for introduction into evidence and am I correct on that, John?

MR. ODAM: Yes, Your Honor, I would stipulate for the record that R-91, R-92 and R-93 are what Mr. Mitchell just read off of them and what they contain, and to the best of my knowledge the were prepared by someone with the Attorney General's office or someone with the Texas Department of Public Safety and delivered by Mr. Hinojosa pursua... to our agreement.

THE MASTER: All right. Now, is R-92 the school district schedule?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir, it is Benavides
Independent School District to Benavides
Implement and Hardware. Let me show these
to the Court and the Court can see what --

THE MASTER: And the third one is the Conservation District?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, Judge, R-93 is Duval County Conservation District and R-91, of course, is the county and the Court can get some idea of the scope of them.

0

(Handed to the Master.)

MR. MITCHELL: I have no more questions of this witness, Judge, in view of the stimulation we offer those into evidence.

MR. ODAM: And I would pose an objection when the Court is ready. Your Honor.

THE MASTER: All right.

MR. ODAM: Well, Your Honor, take for example R-91, that is the schedule of checks from the county to Benavides Implement and Hardware. I would object on the grounds of relevancy of R-91.

Admittedly, that there are in there, I would suppose, a computation of checks which are already in evidence in this case and to the extent those are in there, that objection would not apply. However, as to the other claim numbers, et cetera, that are listed on R-91, I would object on the relevancy of that. Those are not checks in dispute, they appear to be checks from the county to Benavides Implement and Hardware.

We do not know for what purpose that might be developed by Mr. Couling's testimony,

but when it is, it does not go to any issues in this case. They simply are not relevant.

Now, going to R-92 and 93, we can take them together, they deal with checks over a long period of time from the School District. R-92 and from the Water District. R-93 and again we would object on the relevancy of those.

They do not involve entities which we say in this case that Judge Carrillo obtained funds from. It would unduly take up the time of the Court to examine Mr. Couling on why that money was obtained for those entities and for what purpose and it would just -- again, they are totally irrelevant to what is before this court and I see no necessity to go into them or even have those admitted into evidence.

MR. MITCHELL: I don't, of course, intend, Your Honor, to ask the witness about each and every item. I introduced them to show the full picture, the fact that is pointed up by Counsel on his previous questions to Mr. Couling, the amount of money coming out of that one single county.

I think it is definitely relevant, the full scope of business.

THE MASTER: It may be vaguely relevant. I think I will overrule the objection.

(Whereupon Exhibits R-91, R-92 and R-93 are admitted into evidence.)

MR. MITCHELL: I have no further questions of Mr. Hinojose, Your Honor.

MR. ODAM: We have no questions.

THE MASTEK: Thank you, very much.

MR. ODAM: Wait just a minute.

THE MASTER: Wait, do you have any questions of this gentleman?

MR. ODAM: Yes, sir.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. ODAM:

21

22

23

24

25

Q Mr. Hinojosa, on R-91 -- let me make this off the record. I don't know if Mr. Mitchell is aware of this or not.

THE MASTER: This is off the record.

CHATHAM & ASSOCIATES COURT REPORTERS 717 ANTELOPE - GUARANTY BANK PLAZA CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS 78401

THE MASTER: Back on the record. 1 2 Did you have a further question, 3 Mr. Mitchell? MR. MITCHELL: I do. 5 6 7 8 RE-EXAMINATION 9 10 11 BY MR. MITCHELL: 12 Mr. Hinojosa, we requested you to bring in the 13 Q index cards of the checks going from Duval 14 County and so forth into Benavides Implement and 15 Hardware, did we not? 16 Yes. sir. 17 A And these are in a series of R-182 and checks 18 0 going into the Cash Store from Duval County? 19 A Yes, sir. 20 21 Q Have you brought to court this morning a similar card, the original of those cards, with the tape 22 23 showing the total of these going to Benavides Implement and Hardware and from the county? 24 A I could only find the ones of '71 and '72, the 25

1		originals. The rest are copies of the index
2		cards which are here up to 1975.
3	Q	You have with you '71 through '75?
4	A	Just copies from the originals only for '71 and
5		'72.
6	Q	What is this tape, is that for the full time from
7		'71 to '75?
8	A	I have no idea. Somebody else worked that up.
9		I don't know who it was. I didn't work it myself.
10		MR. MITCHELL: Mark this, please.
11		
12	:	(The above-mentioned documents
13	f	were marked R-94-1 through R-94-8 for
14		identification.)
15		
16	Q	(By Mr. Mitchell) I had marked for the record
17		R-94-1 through R-94-8, and I will ask you if these
18		are the copies and originals of those index cards
19		you previously identified.
20	A	Yes, sir.
21	Q	They relate to the checks from Duval County to
22		Benavides Implement and Hardware which should
23		be also reflected on R-91, is that correct, at
24		least to the extent of those in April, 1971?
25		In other words, looking at R-91, we should

.1	be able to look at R-94-1 and find the
2	corresponding claim?
3	A Yes.
4	MR. MITCHELL: We offer R-94-1 through
5	and including R-94-8.
6	MR. ODAM: We have our same objection
7	as to the others, Your Honer.
8	THE MASTER: That you made as to R-91
9	through R-93?
10	MR. ODAM: Yes, sir.
11	THE MASTER: It is overruled and they
12	are admitted.
13	MR. ODAM: We would like copies made of
14	this for our own personal use, Mr. Vernon.
15	MR. MITCHELL: Yes, we would also like
16	a copy of the same.
17	We have no further questions for this
18	witness.
19	May he be excused?
20	MR. ODAM: We have no further questions.
21	THE MASTER: You may be excused.
22	MR. MITCHELL: We would like at this
23	time I don't believe there has been a
24	proper or official offer excuse the word
25	"official," of R-71 through 78, which were

1	the checks on the Olivera Implement and
2	Zertuche General Store. These were the ones
3	I questioned Mr. Couling about and I think I
4	neglected to offer those and I will make tha
5	offer at this point.
6	MR. ODAM: We would object to that,
7	Your Honor, as we did on the testimony as
8	to the relevancy of those exhibits.
9	THE MASTER: Yes, but I overrule the
10	objection and admit those.
11	MR. MITC'LL: Your Honor, also, may
12	Mr. Guerra be excused?
13	THE MASTER: Yes, he may be.
14	MR. MITCHELL: May I tell him, Your
15	Honor?
16	THE MASTER: Yes, but do it quickly.
17	MR. MITCHELL: Well, my client will do
18	that, Your Honor.
19	THE MASTER: All right.
20	MR. MITCHELL: I would like to recall
21	Mr. Couling.
22	
23	
24	
25	

RUDOLFO COULING,

recalled as a witness, having been previously sworn, testified as follows, to-wit:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. MITCHELL:

- Q Mr. Couling, the attorney for the Examiner asked you concerning checks that were deposited in the Rio Grande bank and I believe asked you as to E-173 series, do you recall that?
- A Which check in particular?
- Q He asked you about E-173-15, which was the deposit slips, do you recall that?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q So that the record is clear, the deposit slips -now, that is the evidence introduced and admitted
 by this court, those deposit slips can be tied
 into the record by going into the checks previously
 introduced in two series, that is, 151, 2, 3, 4,
 and 5, and also 155 and so forth through 158.

Let me show you those and we could look at the deposit slips, where there is a reference into the deposit of the account and we should be able

to take those checks from Duval County and be able to trace --

MR. ODAM: The question as to the interrelation of those, I would stipulate that
could be done, and apparently Mr. Mitchell
has done it and I have also and I have made
notes on that and we could get together and
go over this and if you have the same notes,
then fine.

For example, I could tell you E-57 relates to a deposit of November 27, 1972.

MR. MITCHELL: To that extent, I wanted that as a predicate to the next question.

THE MASTER: All right.

Q (By Mr. Mitchell) In addition, Mr. Couling, I am going to hand you additional checks. There is a duplication of checks in the record and specifically I am going to hand you the series of E-65 and E-151 through 156 series.

Let me hand you these and see if we can reconstruct these for the record.

Actually, the Exhibit No. 69, do you have that with you? That would be in that stack and I can --

MR. MITCHELL: I can save time, Your

Honor, and I can make -- E-69 is the same as E-51. They are one and the same check, one being an original and one a copy, am I correct?

MR. ODAM: I don't have that right here, but that probably is correct, because earlier we had attempted to, with opies of checks, and the question was whether he could produce the originals and he provided some.

MR. MITCHELL: May I make a statement that E-69 is the same as E-51; E-75 is the same as 152 and for the record --

THE MASTER: Start over again.

MR. MITCHELL: E-69, which is a Xerox copy of a check to Judge Carrillo, is the same as E-151, which is the original of that same check.

In other words, Judge, that check is for one thousand eighteen dollars. It is not for two thousand thirty-six dollars, it is not two separate checks. E-75 is the same check as E-152, am I correct, Mr. Couling?

THE WITNESS: Yes. This is the original and a copy.

MR. MITCHELL: And E-76 is the same

check as E-153 and E-82 is the same check 1 2 as E-154. 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. MR. MITCHELL: For a total of seven 5 thousand four hundred seventy-six dollars 6 and not fifteen thousand, or whatever the 7 double of that amount is. Have I just confused the Court? THE MASTER: No. you have made it clear. 9 I was hearing 71 as opposed to 171 and E-71 10 11 is the photograph of the witness, Chapa. That is the only confusion I have. What is 12 13 the equivalent of E-171? MR. MITCHELL: I don't have E-171. Ι 14 have these others -- I believe it is E-151, 15 Your Honor. 16 THE MASTER: I see, and the first one is 17 18 E- what? 19 MR. MITCHELL: E-69 is the equivalent 20 of E-151. 21 THE MASTER: All right. 22 MR. ODAM: Again, if that is what Mr. Couling states from looking at those, 23 that is the case. 24 I might state again that when the first 25

series were entered, those were Xerox copies. 1 and the best evidence objection was leveled 2 and he went back and checked and he brought 3 those particular originals following that. There was a series of '69 and some more 5 checks where he could not find the originals. 6 so that is -- it was not our indication to make the inference there was a double amount. MR. MITCHELL: May we stipulate that 9 the total amount is seven thousand four 10 hundred seven_; -six dollars? 11 MR. ODAM: If that is what you say they 12 total, that is fine. 13 THE MASTER: Well, if it is wrong, I 14 will find the correct number by adding it 15 on an adding machine. 16 MR. MITCHELL: Your Honor, I have taken 17 the liberty of taking out those corresponding 18 exhibits and I have them available for the 19 Court here or for counsel, in the event you 20 want to compare between those. 21 THE MASTER: All right. 22 (By Mr. Mitchell) Now, Mr. Couling -- strike Q 23 that. 24

25

Mr. Couling, Mr. Hinojosa, who just preceded

you on the stand, has brought for us a compilation 1 which is admitted as R-91 through R-93, which 2 3 appear to be the checks from Duval County to the Benavides Implement and Hardware. The Court has admitted those in evidence. R-92 is the checks 5 from Benavides Independent School District to 6 Benavides Implement and Hardware. 7 A Yes, sir. 8 And Duval County Conservation and Reclamation or Q 9 R-93, 1971 to date, is that correct? 10 Yes, sir. Α 11 Now, if you will look at the last page of those Q 12 exhibits, that is 91 through 93, you will find 13 first -- you will find a total of one hundred 14 eighty-two thousand two hundred sixty-nine dollars 15 nineteen cents paid to your business, is that 16 what the exhibit reflects? 17 Α Yes, sir. 18 Q And if you look at 92, you see that shows a total 19 of one hundred nine thousand five hundred ninety 20 dollars fourteen cents? 21 A Yes, sir. 22 Q And 93, you will see seventy-six thousand seven . 23 hundred two dollars twenty-two cents paid to your 24

business?

25

1 || A Yes, sir.

Q Or a total of three hundred sixty-eight thousand five hundred sixty-one dollars?

- Λ Yes, sir.
- Q Out of all of that business -- strike that, if I might be able to, the fragmentary statement.

Now, not counting the checks payable to
Benavides Implement and Hardware, as relate to
the date December, 1972 and forward, that is
when the Caterpillar tractors were bought from
Plains Machinery Company of Corpus Christi, it
appears that the checks numbered 151, 152, 153,
154, 155, 156, 157 and 158 are the only checks
that come out of the Benavides Implement and
Hardware account to Judge O. P. Carrillo -excuse me, including the Xerox E-165, the original
which we do not have, is that correct?

- A Yes.
- Q You may look at those.
- A Would you repeat the question?
- Q I say, the only ones -- setting aside the checks payable to Plains Machinery, and we are talking about the checks going to Judge Carrillo, are E-151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157 and 158 and in addition, E-65.

	ll:	
1	A	Only to him, these are what you are talking about?
2	Q	The only ones you have issued that are in
3		evidence.
4	A	Yes, sir.
5	Q	As far as you know, Mr. Couling, there are not
6		any more, other than those introduced by the
7		Examiner, are there?
8	A	I don't know, sir, I can't say one way or the
9		other for sure.
10	Q	If the thrust of the formal complaint is here
11		with checks coming from you to him and the
12	-	Attorney General has done a find job, a fine a
13		job as indicated by the record, you would assume,
14		if there would be more, they could have found
15		them?
16	A	Yes, sir.
17	Q	Let me ask you to pick up the checks you have in
18		front of you there, do you have those?
19	A	Yes, sir.
20	Q	If you add those figures, you will find that is
21		a total of payments to Judge Carrillo of seven
22		thousand four hundred seventy-six dollars and you
23		add E-65 in there and that is eight thousand
24		dollars, roughly.
25	A	Well, whenever they add them, they can get the
	H—	

right amount.

Q That is a total amount -- we are talking about seven thousand four hundred seventy-six dollars and you add that copy for a thousand, then that is eight thousand four hundred seventy-six dollars total -- I am sorry, my client, having done the arithmetic, the seven housand four hundred seventy-six dollars does include E-65.

May I have the record changed to reflect that?

THE MASILE: Yes.

- Q (By Mr. Mitchell) Now, taking 151 and all of the checks you have there, and see if that doesn't come up to a total of seven thousand four hundred seventy-six dollars?
- A I can't add them, but I will agree if that is what you say.
- Q Okay. And of those checks, that is of those checks E-65, E-151 through E-158, all of those have recitals in the lower left-hand corner, either rent on truck, tubing, and so forth, except four of them, and they are E-65, am I correct?
- A There is no notation on that one.
- Q E-151, which has no notation?
- A No, sir.

1	Q	And E-158?	
2	A	No, sir.	
3	Q	And E-154?	
4	A	No, sir.	!
5	Q	Each of the others has a notation and there is a	
6		business record on it, which I understand your	
7	,	testimony to be that you are saving that was	
8		business you did with Judge Carrillo?	i
9	A	Yes, sir.	
10	-Q	And those that do not have notations, 158, for	
11		example, is appare. 1y endorsed over to the Cash	
12		Store?	
13	A	Yes, sir.	
14	Q	And there is then, on the reverse side, an	ļ
15		endorsement by Abel Yzaguirre, that is his	ţ
16		signature?	
17	A	Yes, I know him.	
18	Q	To that extent, E-158 indicates that check from	
19		you, R. N. Couling, to O. P. Carrillo, was	
20		negotiated to the Cash Store?	
.21	A	According to the signature, it does.	
22	Q	That leaves us without any notation on 151 and 154	,
* ' 23		is that correct?	
24	A	Yes, sir.	
25	Q A	And 154 having a "for deposit" notation "only"? Yes.	
			\Box

1	Q	And 151, which apparently is a is endorsed
2		credit to the account of the payee?
3	A	Yes, sir.
4	Q	Out of all of those checks that we have listed,
5		151 and 154 are simply checks from you to Judge
6		Carrillo for varying amounts in 1971?
7	A	Yes, sir.
8	o	All right. Now, I had
9		MR. MITCHELL: May I have the Exhibits
10		back you are holding there, Mr. Couling,
11		R-91, 92 and 95.
12		
13		(Handed to Counsel.)
14		(Discussion off the record.)
15	ļ	<u> </u>
16		MR. MITCHELL: I believe, Your Honor,
17		I believe that's all the questions I have
18		of this witness.
19		MR. ODAM: Your Honor, Mr. Mitchell
20		has asked Mr. Couling if there are other
21		checks made out from Benavides Implement
22		and Hardware to Judge O. P. Carrillo and
23		his question was that or his answer was
24		that he did not know.
]		I did not really expect Mr. Mitchell

to raise that question and in light of that, I will state that we have all of the bank photostatic conies on the account, and would like time to go through to have an opportunity to examine Mr. Couling on that point.

That might be that the 's his answer to that question, that there are no -- his answers was that he did not know, but if we could have the time to go through the photostatic copies of all of the checks,

THE MASTER: You mean right now?

MR. ODAM: Yes, sir.

THE MASTER: How long do you need?

MR. FLUSCHE: About fifteen minutes.

MR. ODAM: And we are close --

THE MASTER: And I think we are winding down. I believe we will finish today, don't you?

MR. ODAM: Yes, sir.

MR. MITCHELL: Judge Meyers, the only problem now is this. I might point out that I have couched my entire rebuttal on the extent of the record that has been made by the Examiner for the last two or

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 problem. 13 14 15 16 17 18 too. 19 20: 21 22 23 Mr. Odam? 242 MR. MITCHELL: May I have the request 25. that he put down the time that we are taking

three weeks or how long we have been there. I must state quite frankly to the Court that I am taken back by the statement that Mr. Odam just made that there might be some more checks by Mr. Couling. If there are --THE MASTER: We are not at that point. All I have been asked is to ree if they can find any and if they don't find any --MR. MITCHELL: No problem. THE MASTER: Then we are over the But it hey do, they we face the MR. MITCHELL: I think the Court is telling me that I am crying ouch before I am hurt and I think you are probably correct. THE MASTER: Let's take a little longer recess because you all will need some break, Let's be in recess until, say, 10:05. (Whereupon the hearing was in recess from 9:40 a.m. until 10:17 a.m.) THE MASTER: Are you ready to proceed,

1 back up, Judge, to show the time spent by 2 Counsel? 3 Yes, we are -- I just THE MASTER: figured we recessed -- well, correct me if 5 I am wrong. I think at 9:40 and we are taking back up at 10:17 or 10:16. MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, Judge Meyers. 7 9 10 11 EXAMIN'TION CONTINUED 12 BY MR. ODAM: 13 Mr. Couling, prior to the time of the break, 14 and I appreciate your indulgence with us, the 15 question was posed to you by Mr. Mitchell as to 16 whether or not there was any other checks, other 17 than these admitted into evidence, that you wrote 18 to Judge O. P. Carrillo on the Benavides Implement 19 and Hardware account in the San Diego State Bank. 20

A Yes, sir.

21

22

23

24

25

Q And do you recall your answer that you gave at that time?

Do you recall that question?

A I believe I said I didn't remember, I thought it

1 was all of them. I don't know. 2 Q Now, you --3 MR. ODAM: Mark that. (Marked for identification as Exhibit 5 E-174.) 6 7 I show you what has been marked as E-174 and 8 ask you to examine it for a moment. 9 (Handed to the witness.) 10 11 0 I will have a question for you about it. 12 Yes, eir. A 13 (Marked for identification by the 14 reporter as Exhibit E-175.) 15 .16 I will show you what has been marked as E-175 17 and ask you to examine it for a moment. .18 (Handed to the witness.) 19 20 (Marked for identification by the 21 reporter as Exhibit E-176.) 22 I will ask you at this time to examine what the 23 court reporter has marked as Exhibit E-176. 24 25 (Handed to the witness.)

1 (Marked for identification by the 2 reporter as Exhibit E-177 and E-178.) 3 I show you what the court reporter has marked 5 as E-177 and E-178. 6 (Handed to the witness.) 7 8 Have you had an opportunity to look at these 9 Exhibits E-174 through 178? 10 Yes, efr. 11 All right, now, let began back with E-174 and 12 can you identify for the record, Mr. Couling, 13 what Exhibit E-174 is? 14 This is a check made out to O. P. Carrillo for 15 a thousand dollars. 18 What is the date on that check? 17 The fourth month, 14th day of 72, sir. 18 Now, did you bring this xeroxed copy with you 19 today? 20 I don't remember. Yes, I believe I did. Ι 21 don't remember, sir, if I did or not. 22 Do you have the original copy of --23 -- those checks? 24 Q Yes. 25 I might have. I don't know, sir, I can't --

3

5

7

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Q Str?

I don't recall if I have them or not, sir. don't know.

- Q Ibelieve previously you have been asked to furnish to the Attorney General's office all original checks that you have, however,
- Α I turned over all that I have, sit.
- All right.

MR. ODAM: Now, this check Exhibit E-174 we would offer into evidence.

MR. MITCHELL: We would object to the offer of E-174 on the grounds of best evidence rule. We would plead surprise and request a motion for continuance or postnonement: beyond the specifications outlined in 1 through 12 in the formal notice of hearing.

We would also object to the introduction, Your Honor, of E-174 on the grounds that the same is irrelevant and immaterial, being beyond the term of Judge Carrillo's present office, that is as the Judge of the 229th District Court: it relates to nonjudicial acts and finally, Your Honor, we would object to the introduction on the

15

16 17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

grounds there is simply no jurisdiction here for the purpose of an inquiry as to any alleged act involving E-174, the jurisdiction of the Judicial Qualfifcation Commission in this matter not having been previously invoked.

THE MASTER: What is the purpose of the offer? Obviously, in the face of an objection, it can not expand the scope of this inquiry and be a grounds of complaint, is that correct?

MR. ODAM: Correct.

THE MASTER: Are you offering it simply to rebut the suggestion earlier made by Mr. Mitchell when he asked this witness the question are there any others?

MR. ODAM: Yes, sir.

THE MASTER: Were there any others?

MR. ODAM: Yes, sir.

THE MASTER: I am not sure what the question was, but in my view, it carried the same implication that these were all, there was a series of questions about five or six checks being all the ones there were except for the Plains Implement checks.

If that -- if that is your purpose, simply to show that that thrust of questions is inaccurate, I think it is admissible, Mr. Mitchell.

MR. MITCHELL: I hate to quarrel with the Court's statement.

THE MASTER: I am not suggesting you are quarreling, I am just asking if you have a response to it. I don't think it is admissible to expand the scope of this inquiry at all but it is -- there was a series of questions that tended to suggest that those five or six checks that you handed the witness were all the ones there were and on all of those except two or three there were notations.

MR. ODAM: That's right.

THE MASTER: Such as rent or pipe or so forth.

MR. ODAM: And he testified, Your Honor, under oath, that was the case as I recall and if the Examiner --

THE MASTER: Now, the question was though it narrowed itself, that is all there are in evidence.

MR. MITCHELL: I believe I asked him, Your Honor, I don't want to guarrel with the Court, the thrust of my guestion was at this point, at this close to the closing of several weeks of trial, am I now faced with all of the bad things I am faced with and I but the guestion to him specifically with that motive in mind, are you going to come up here with anything else and I asked him is there anything else and he said I don't think there is.

And certainly if -- if the Court will recall that very dumb followup question I said don't you think if they had been scraping together all of this time and wanted to point to me, they would have found some more, and he said yes, that was the reason for it.

Judge, I think the Court's statement also raises an additional objection which we would like to out to the offer of 174, and it would be hearsay, that is as it is being offered.

THE MASTER: Well, the objection that I am concerned about is the best evidence

rule. I don't know that you have met it.

MR. ODAM: Your Honor, the gentleman has stated that he does not have the original checks.

THE MASTER: I thought he said he didn't know.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, he did.

THE MASTER: I think you need to develop that.

MR. MITCHELL: All right, sir.

- Q You understand, Mr. Couling, the question is as to where, if you have the original checks that we have here, now, my question to you, do you have in your possession the original checks that have been marked here as E-174 through 178 that you have identified here to be copies?
- A No, sir, I gave everything I could find to the Attorney General, sir, all I could find, I gave to the Attorney General. I don't have no checks from the store in my house. The only thing I have is my personal account which is a special account, which is my personal account, that is the only one I have.

MR. ODAM: Well, Your Honor, he has testified to his unavailability of those

in his hands.

__

THE MASTER: Yes, but does the document become unawailable because a witness
gives it to --

MR. MITCHELL: To the Examiner.

THE MASTER: -- to the Examiner?

MR. MITCHELL: Oh, no.

MR. ODAM: But the witness' testimony, however, Your Honor, is he has turned over to the Attorney General's office all original checks which he had.

THE MASTER: That's correct.

MR. ODAM: Now, the statement or the situation of things is that he didn't turn over to our office originals of these checks. If he did, and we have numerous originals which he has, none of which are these, if he did, we would put them into evidence. We have numerous originals that he turned over to us that he did have, the rest we had to rely on from xeroxed copies or photostatic copies of bank records.

In other words, we didn't receive originals of these checks. If we did, we would out them into evidence as we did

others we received.

THE MASTER: I will certainly accept
your representation for the purpose of this
discussion that you don't have the originals.

I think for the purposes of making these copies admissible, unless Counsel will accept your statement, you are going to have to testify to that.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes.

THE MASTER: Because you have got to prove, as I read the statute, that these were made by a process that is reasonably calculated to make a correct copy such as a xerox, photostat and so forth, and that the original is unawailable. Isn't that more or less the test?

MR. ODAM: Yes.

THE MASTER: Now, I don't know, is there any stipulation as to the -- as to the copying process of these?

MR. ODAM: Well, these E-177 and 178 and 176 are on photostatic copy paper that the bank, Mr. Carl Williams, had made pursuant to earlier -- just like the earlier situation on those checks.

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MITCHELL: It raises another objection that is not properly authenticated. We would like to level that objection also to the offer of 174, please, if it please the Court.

May I speak just briefly to the question of the best evidence rule, Your Honor, and then I will -- I submit, Your Honor, the best evidence rule would be emasculated in it's entirety if Counsel and the witness were permitted by the simple expediency of Counsel, in answer to a predicate question to avoid the application of the rule, to simply testify, "Yes, I have the original, but I have delivered them to you", and then for Counsel to make the statement in open court. "I don't have them".

I submit, Your Honor, that the -that is not sufficient.

THE MASTER: That is not what the witness testified to.

MR. ODAM: That isn't what he said.

The witness testified he THE MASTER: delivered all the checks he could find.

> To the Attorney General. MR. MITCHELL:

1 THE MASTER: To the Attorney General's 2 The Attorney General's office is prepared to testify he did not deliver those to us. 5 MR. MITCHELL: The originals? MR. ODAM: Of these. 7 THE MASTER: That is, ho is prepared to testify. 9 MR. MITCHELL: My question is where 10 did they get the copies? 11 MR. ODAM: 'rom the bank. 12 MR. MITCHELL: Then my objection is 13 to authentication and that is why I made 14 it. 15 THE MASTER: And the objection, with 16 respect to authentication, is good, Mr. 17 Odam. 18 Now, you indicated that 174 is a 19 xerox. 20 MR. ODAM: Of a bank copy, of a bank 21 photostatic copy as E-175, it is a xeroxed 22 copy of a bank photostatic copy. Unless they 23 provided us with a xerox. 24 THE MASTER: I agree that they are not 25 authenticated and -- I agree they are not

authenticated. I think with your representation that you will testify that they were not delivered to the Attorney General's office, I would think the test of unawallability has been met.

CHATHAM & ASSOCIATES
COURT REPORTERS
717 ANTELOPE - GURANTY BANK PLAZA
CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS 78401

MR. MITCHELL: May I ask one question on voir dire on the left observation on the Court on the availability, Your Honor? THE MASTER: Yes, sir. MR. MITCHELL: May I see the exhibits, please? MR. ODAM: Yes. MR. MITCHELL: I lo so with the express intent, of course, not to waive any objection.

1		VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
2		
3	BY	MR. MITCHELL:
4		
5	Q	These checks that have been marked 174 through
6		178, you have examined the copies of those, have
7		you not?
8	A	Yes, sir.
9	Q	And they are checks written by Benavides
10		Implement and Hardware to Judge O. P. Carrillo?
11	A	Yes, sir.
12	Q	In the normal course and each and every check
13		is drawn on the First State Bank of San Diego,
14		Texas?
15	A	Yes, sir.
16	Q	At a time when the Benavides Implement and
17		Hardware maintained a checking account in 1972?
18	A	Yes, sir.
19	Q	And the originals of these checks would have been
20		returned in the due course of the banking
21		business to you, would they not?
22	A	Yes, sir.
23	Q	And those originals would have come to your
24		possession at the time they were sant to you by
25	}	the bank?
	}	

1	A	Yes, sir.
2	Q	As far as you know, you got the originals?
3	A	I received them, and when we made the income tax,
4		I believe they were in the store, and when
5		Mr. Garcia passed away, I could not find them.
6	Q	Had you had the originals at one time?
7	A	Yes, sir.
8	Q	You testified earlier that these checks were never
9		delivered to the Attorney General?
10	A	All I could find were, sir.
11	Q	When did that delivery occur?
12	A	Somewhere, I believe, in early September.
13	Q	1975?
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Q	Did you make an inventory of the items delivered?
16	A	I don't remember, sir. I can't say yes or no.
17	Q	Did you box them? What was the size, how much
18		material was delivered to the Attorney General?
19	A	Everything I had from the beginning up to 1974,
20		I believe.
21	Q	As relates to the Benavides Implement and
22		Hardware account?
23	A	Yes, sir.
24	Q	And your personal and special reach account?
25	A	No, just the Benavides Implement and Hardware

. 1		account.
2	Q	To whom did you make that delivery?
3	A	I believe Mr. Blanton.
4	Q	You did deliver all the bank statements and
5	ļ	cancelled checks you could find?
6	A	Yes, sir.
7	Q	And the deposit slips?
8	A	I don't know whether it was together with them
9		or not. I handed them to them as I got them
10		from the bank.
11	Q	Was that part of the audit material prior to
12		1975 you had earlier told us about?
13	A	It was '71, '72 and '73, the ones they audited.
14		MR. MITCHELL: We renew our objection.
15		THE MASTER: Well, only with respect
16		to authentication is the objection sustained.
17		Mr. Mitchell, I might add that only
18		one exhibit has been offered and that is
19		E-174.
20		MR. MITCHELL: That is correct, just
21		174, and the objections are made to 174.
22		MR. ODAM: The assumption was that we
23	l	had offered 175 through 178 as well, which
24		we do offer at this time.
25		MR. MITCHELL: I plead surprise in order

to meet the matter and we object to it as beyond the scope of the formal notice, the First Amended Notice and no jurisdiction as regards the expansion of those notices and we also object on the grounds that it is beyond the term rule, the office of Judge Carrillo commencing on January 1, 1975, and the checks all being in 1972; best evidence rule, hearsay and improper authentication.

THE MASTER: Well, they are admitted if they are -- hey are not admitted, because I don't think they are authenticated, but if that occurs, then I will admit them for a limited purpose of rebutting the examination you made of this witness earlier today suggesting that the only checks that went to Judge Carrillo were the ones that you handed to him and he held in his hand.

I can't quote the testimony exactly, but that is the thrust of your examination.

MR. MITCHELL: We would further levy the objection that it is an attempt to impeach their own witness. It constitutes an effective impeachment of their own witness.

THE MASTER: That is overruled.

2 3

5

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

MR. ODAM: Your Honor, we would tender, for the purpose of a Bill of Exception, these exhibits, and I would like to determine the availability of whether or not to have Carl Williams drive back over here to say he made these copies. We would want to go into what purpose --

MR. MITCHELL: Pardon me --

THE MASTER: He said on a Bill of Exception.

MR, MITCHELL: I appreciate that, but it is hard for me to see the relevancy and I am without being contemptuous, and without detracting from the record, I am saying this is subtrafuge and this Court has ruled as regards the collateral matters and we would object to, of course, this line of questioning and I think the cases hold the bill is properly made when the objection is made and sustained as to the exhibits.

THE MASTER: You may proceed. You say as a bill, it is a bill, because the document is not in evidence. I take it, if you get the document in evidence, you are going to

offer the bill itself?

MR. ODAM: Yes, sir.

THE MASTER: You may proceed.

MR. MITCHELL: That certainly puts me on a disadvantage on cross-examination. If he goes into the collateral matters and authenticates them from a witness, then it would be limited to objection. If he goes beyond that, thereafter he succeeds in authentication, then I would be precluded in my cross-examination on the purpose of them.

THE MASTER: Well, I am simply following what I think the Supreme Court said in the first Southwest Airline case, that the court should allow full bills to be developed.

MR. MITCHELL: I understand that.

THE MASTER: I don't understand why you think you are limited in your cross-examination. You would be free to cross him on anything that Mr. Odam develops.

MR. MITCHELL: I would submit, Your Honor, the purpose for the rules are defeated. I have no notice of these checks and he is going to be permitted to develop them thoroughly on a Bill of Exception.

1 I don't know anything else to do except 2 request time to investigate them. 3 That will be taken under THE MASTER: advisement. 5 MR. ODAM: May I have just one moment? 6 THE MASTER: Yes, sir. 7 MR. MITCHELL: May I sak the witness one 8 more question? 9 THE MASTER: Yes, sir. 10 11 12 13 14 15 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 16 (CONTINUED) 17 18 BY MR. MITCHELL: 19 20 Mr. Couling, do you know of any other checks now, Q other than those five you just identified and 21 22 those four or five we talked about this morning? 23 I can't remember, there might be a lot more. A 24 Q Do you know? 25 I can't remember. After going through a lot of A

1		checks, I can't remember. How can I remember one
2		particular check?
3	Q	You testified under oath these are the ones you
4		remember now?
5	A	I don't know if there is a lot more, sir; I don't
6		know.
. 7		MR. MITCHELL: Judge, I submit I really
8		don't know what function I am performing.
9		I can't stop them from being generated and
10		this is a terrible way to try this case. I
11		submit I don't know what he is talking about.
12		Certainly counsel can notify me whether
13		he got any more delivered or not.
14		THE MASTER: You may proceed, Mr. Odam.
15		MR. ODAM: May I have just one moment,
16		Your Honor?
17		THE MASTER: Yes, sir.
18		Now, you may proceed on your Bill of
19		Exception, Mr. Odam.
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	İ	
25		

BY MR. ODAM:

5

3

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

EXAMINATION

Q	I show you again E-174 through E-178, the first
	being a copy of a check to O. P. Carrillo for
	one thousand dollars. Can you state for what

purpose this check was written to Judge Carrillo?

Α It was made from the county and the county made a check to the store and I in turn made a check to O. P. Carrillo per one thousand dollars.

Q And I show you E-175 in the amount of nine hundred ninety-seven dollars to Judge Carrillo. For what purpose did you write this check?

A The same purpose.

> MR. MITCHELL: May I have a continuing objection to this Bill of Exception and why these were written?

THE MASTER: You may, however, this is a Bill of Exception and I do not understand that I have the authority, unless it gets -- I suppose at some times I have the authority to cut off the bill --

MR. MITCHELL: Well, I just don't want to waive any of my objections.

THE MASTER: You have them all.

MR. MITCHELL: All right. I will let counsel proceed without any further interruption.

MR. ODAM: It is my understanding that this is totally for the Bill of Exception so that we can make a determination of whether or not to get 'ir. Williams over here, if they are not authenticated, because they are not in evidence.

THE MASIL: I regard the two as divisible. It may be I will let the checks in and make a different ruling on the testimony.

MR. MITCHELL: I understand the Court overruled all of my objections except authentication.

THE MASTER: I have not ruled on this testimony, because this is not coming into the record; it is on a Bill of Exception.

There is no point in ruling on testimony that comes in on a bill.

MR. MITCHELL: I don't think I am making myself clear to the Court. The purpose of this check is unrelated to authenticity. My

comments and objections are that if the

Court has overruled my objection, except

the best evidence rule and perhaps

authentication, then the question of purpose

is immaterial. The development of the

Bill of Exception to the question of the

objection is the only way to go.

THE MASTER: You are suggesting that he should not, with this witness, develop this exhibit any further until he gets it into evidence?

MR. MITCHELL: That is right, except as to the specific grounds for which the objection was sustained, otherwise, why the rules of evidence?

THE MASTER: That is certainly one route the proceeding could take, but I don't think that is the only route and you may proceed, Mr. Odam.

- Q (By Mr. Odam) I show you what has been marked E-176 and ask you if you can identify this check?
- A It is dated September, 1972, a check to O. P.

 Carrillo for two thousand six hundred forty

 dollars, from the Benavides Implement and Hardware

 on the First State Bank of San Diego.

1	Q	What is the purpose of that?
2	A	It says loan, but I never made him a loan.
3	Q	For what purpose was that check made?
4	A	It was money coming to my store from Duval County
5		and the money had to go to O. P. Carrillo.
6	Q	I show you what has been marked E-177, which is a
7		check I will ask you to identif;.
8	A	This is a check dated January 4, 1972, in the
. 9		amount of seventy-seven dollars made out to 0. P.
10		Carrillo.
11	Q	For what purpose did you write that check?
12	A	On that small amount, sir, I can't testify, but
13		I don't believe it was for anything.
14	Q	You were just writing him a check for seventy-seven
15		dollars?
16	A	Yes, sir.
17	Q	And this check is also in 1972, can you identify
18		it?
19		THE MASTER: Exhibit number what?
20	Ó	I am sorry, Exhibit 178, can you identify that?
21	A	Yes, sir, January 17, 1972, to O. P. Carrillo for
22		nine hundred dollars from the Benavides Implement
23		and Hardware. It is the same transfer, just to
. 24		give money from the county back to the judge
25		through my store.
	↓	

1 MR. ODAM: Would you mark these? 2 3 (The above-mentioned documents were 4 marked E-179-1 through E-179-29.) 5 6 Q (By Mr. Odam) Now, I show you what has been 7 marked as E-179-1 through E-179-29, and ask you 8 if you can identify these. 9 THE MASTER: E-179-1 through E-179-29? 10 MR. ODAM: Yes, sir. . 11 Q (By Mr. Odam) Can you identify these? 12 A Yes, sir. 13 Q Look at them first and I will have some questions 14 to ask you about these. 15 (Exhibits handed to witness.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

- Q (By Mr. Odam:) Have you had an opportunity to look at 179-1 through 179-29?
- A Yes, sir.
- O And can you generally identify for the record what those Evhibits are?
- A These are checks from the Benavides Implement and Hardware Company drawn on the First State

 Bank of San Diego payable to the Farm and Ranch Supply Company of Benavides, Texas, and they are all from my store, sir.
- Q And you signed #11 these checks?
- A Yes, sir,
- Q And all of these are original checks?
- A Yes, sir.

MR. ODAM: We offer into evidence, Your Honor, 179-1 through 179-29.

MR. MITCHELL: We object on the grounds of hearsay. We plead surprise, and we request a continuance and postposement to properly defend against them: beyond the formal notice, beyond the first amended notice, beyond the term rule, relate to non-judicial acts as between R. M. Couling. Benavides Implement and Hardware and the Farm and Ranch Supply Company.

There is absolutely no notice or any type of a fashion or form of connection, Your Honor, and certainly are not invited by the prior questions out to the witness.

We object further on the grounds of hearsay and I believe that is all, Judge.

THE MASTER: What is the purpose of the offer, Mr. Odam?

MR. ODAM: Your Honor, the question was put to the witness whether or not he had issued any chees to Judge O. P. Carrillo.

The answer was he didn't know if he did or not.

These checks made out to -- are made out to the Farm and Ranch Store. The previous testimony in this case which has not yet been disputed or is in question actually is that the Farm and Ranch Store of Benavides, Texas, was a partnership owned by O. P. Carrillo and by Ramiro Carrillo.

We would submit that these checks are offered, while they are not made out as the previous ones on the bill of exceptions, are made out to the Farm and Ranch Store which is a partnership which O. p. Carrillo

and Ramiro Carrillo had an interest.

So these checks are relevant to that answer and are offered for that purpose. They are checks that are issued which indirectly Judge O. P. Carrillo received the benefit of since he owned the Farm and Ranch Store.

I recall for the record the estimony of Cleofus Gonzalez and the testimony of Mr. Couling previously.

MR. MITCHELL: I might state for the record, Your Honor, I am not prepared to try the Farm and Ranch Supply as it relates to the Judicial Qualification rules.

I would also please, therefore, there is no jurisdiction here as regards the triangle they are putting us to trial on.

MR. ODAM: Your Honor, it is not the intention to expand the notice or charge Judge Carrillo with taking this money from the county. We do submit, however, that the checks are checks pursuant to earlier questions which went to Judge O. P. Carrillo since they went to the Farm and Ranch Supply.

THE MASTER: So you are offering them again to dispel the inference that was left

earlier this morning that the only checks going from the Benavides Implement and Hardware were the five or six that were handed to the witness?

MR. ODAM: Yes, Your Honor.

THE MASTER: To Judge Carrillo?

MR. ODAM: May I add the objection improper bolstering and impeachment, attempting to impeach his witness, Judge Meyers.

THE MASTER: I will overrule the objection. But I may change my ruling on that one, Mr. Odam.

EXAMINATION CONTINUED

BY MR. ODAM:

O Mr. Couling, these checks 179-1 through 179-29 are in evidence in this case.

I take it from your testimony that each one is in varying amounts to Farm and Ranch Supply and I believe it was your previous testimony that -
MR. ODAM: Strike that.

Now, I do not intend to go with you in detail

through 29 checks, each and every one of these checks. Why would you issue to Farm and Ranch Supply these checks.

My question is to you, would you explain to the Court and record why the Benavides Hardware Company would issue these checks, these amounts generally to the Farm and Ranch Supply and to Judge O. P. Carrillo?

MR. MITCHELL: The best evidence rule would apply. The checks speak for themselves and the notations contained thereon and we would object as to the whys and wherefores, Your Honor.

THE MASTER: Overruled.

(Handed to the witness.)

MR. ODAM: Judge, while he's looking at these checks, I do understand it was over-ruled and they were admitted.

THE MASTER: Yes, E-179-1 through 29 is admitted.

(Exhibit E-179-1 through E-179-29 were admitted into evidence.)

THE MASTER: Mr. Mitchell, I want to

1 go back to a much earlier day while Mr. 2 Couling is looking at those checks and it 3 is on a completely different subject. My recollection is not clear. I made 5 some notes on it and I have been rereading 6 the record. What is the status as you 7 understand it of that rather thick report A that the witness Garland Smith prepared? 9 Did I reserve ruling on it? 10 MR. MITCHELL: No. sir, I believe the 11 Court had reserved it's ruling at the time 12 it was offered. I objected and the Court 13 studied it and two days later --14 THE MASTER: Sustained the objection? 15 MR. MITCHELL: Sustained the objection. 16 THE MASTER: That is what I recall, but 17 if it is not, it is sustained now. 18 MR. MITCHELL: It was out into the 19 record as a part of the bill of exception. 20 that was my recollection, Judge, Meyers. 21 That is my recollection also, MR. ODAM: 22 Your Honor. 23 (Discussion off the record.) 24 25 Q (By Mr. Odam:) You have examined the checks, Mr.

Couling?

- A Yes, sir,
- O Do you recall the question why you would issue these checks from Benavides Implement and Hardwere to Farm and Ranch Supply, that is my question.
- A Yes, sir.
- Q What is your answer to that question?
- A On some of these, I believe in the beginning the checks -- the bills were made by Cleofus --

MR. MITCHELL: Pardon me, Your Honor,
I have a running or continuing objection,
if not, I certainly want to object that it
is way beyond the scope of notice. I have
no notice, I plead surprise and I want a
continuance and postponement.

He is getting ready to come in on some new agreement and new understanding and going to dump all of that in the record and I want to object.

I certainly don't want to be by my silence, to be understood that I waive any of my prior objections, Judge Meyers.

THE MASTER: Well, you don't, but I have admitted all of this, not to expand any of the complaints or counts, but solely

3

5 6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

to dispel or disprove the inference left earlier this morning that the only checks that went to Judge Carrillo were some four or five or six. I don't remember how many, and that totaled, as I recall some -- do you recall that number?

MR. MITCHELL: Seven thousand four hundred --

THE MASTER: Some seventy-four hundred dollars.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, and then, of course, Your Honor, on that point, 179-1 through 179-29 to Farm and Ranch, and they are endorsed for deposit only Farm and Ranch, and signed by Cleofus Gonzalez and other people, not O. P. Carrillo.

THE MASTER: Yes. sir.

MR. MITCHELL: All right.

- (By Mr. Odam:) Go shead, Mr. Couling.
- At the beginning the bills were made at Farm and Ranch using my invoices taken to the county, checks were issued and in turn I was told by Ramiro that all of the checks that were brought to me, if I didn't have no sales to be made directly to the Farm and Ranch, I didn't sell no

ı 2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sumplies at all to the Farm and Ranch, I didn't buy anything from them.

The bills that were produced by them are bills that I was told by Ramiro to make myself.

> THE MASTER: But what Ramiro told you, I think is subject to the hearsay objection, Mr. Odam.

Your Horor, at this time --MR. ODAM: and I made a note of it at the end of our case and for the state, this is our last witness unless it is Mr. Carl Williams, would reurge for consideration by the Court the hearsay objection and all of the testimony that had been given by this witness, particularly -- and the other witnesses, on the exception to the hearsay rule as the declaration against pecuniary interests as statements made by Ramiro Carrillo to this witness.

I believe the testimony has been replete by the -- from this witness and others as to the possible pecuniary interest that could be incurred by one Ramiro Carrillo as a result of the checks that have gone to O. P. Carrillo.

Also, we see by the statements here that there was a partnership between -- correction, by a previous testimony, a partnership between 0. P. Carrillo and Ramiro Carrillo in the Farm and Ranch Store and here we have checks, some of which are based upon invoices to the county and for which Benavides Implement and Hardware received no benefit.

I believe the witness has testified, or would testin, that Farm and Ranch received no benefits. So I again, as to the hearsay objection, I would reurge consideration of the declaration against becuniary interests of Mr. Ramiro Carrillo.

THE MASTER: I will overrule that objection. You have raised something in my mind, out of court hearsay statement of one partner is not admissible against the other, is it?

MR. OFAM: No, Your Honor, in all candor I don't know that exception to the hearsay rule.

THE MASTER: I don't think it is. I will sustain the objection with respect to

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

what Ramiro Carrillo told Mr. Couling with respect to the testimony he just gave.

MR. ODAM: And as a further -- and I don't think I have any additional questions of this witness, these checks in light of what Mr. Couling has now stated, checks were admitted for the purpone of rebutting the inference that no checks were issued to O. P. Carrillo and also they are offered for the purpose of showing the general course of conduct by Mr. Couling in the issuance of checks to Farm and Ranch and to show the entire scheme of which we have gone through with six days of this witness.

In other words, the overall course and conduct involved in this entire pattern of issuance of checks from the county, from Benavides Implement and Hardware.

These are all, we would submit -- the ones that are in evidence, particularly which we have gone into and which are in our notice of formal pleadings and the amended notice of formal pleadings, all as we state in our pleadings, show the fraudulent scheme of fraudulent slips into the county and the

1 2 3 5 continue to object to it. 6 7 MR. MITCHELL: 8 9 tion. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 ence. 22 23 24 I asked him a question under oath. "Is there 25

county issuing the checks out therefrom. MR. MITCHELL: Now, Judge, I know I have been restricted in what my objections are, but now this monologue, I am going to THE MASTER: Sustained. Now, this is --THE MASTER: I sustained your objec-MR. MITCHELL: All right, sir. MR. ODAM: They are offered for the course of conduct, Your Honor. THE MASTER: I do not admit them for that purpose. I admit them for the purpose that you stated initially to show that the four or five checks this witness was questioned about by Mr. Mitchell earlier this morning, leaving the inference that those are the only ones that went to Judge Carrillo or for his benefit, was a misleading infer-MR. ODAM: We pass the witness. MR. MITCHELL: There is no way in the world I can prepare to examine him, Judge.

any more checks", and he says he doesn't know and I get some more and I'll be quite frank, I am very frustrated.

I have been at this for tweaty-five years and I think the best thing for me to do is to pass him back and rest.

THE MASTER: The Examiner hasn't rested yet.

MR. MITCHELL: I need some more time to commence an investigation into these additional checks. I am not going to let 174 through 179-1 through 29 remain unspoken, if I have got to spend the next ten years getting to the bottom of them.

But, Your Honor, quite frankly, I cannot, I am not that agile. I cannot take within a period of about an hour the Exhibits 174 through and including 179-1 through 29, that is thirty-three some odd Exhibits and cross-examine and I am just not going to speak to this record that I can do that intelligently.

THE MASTER: I do not believe, Mr.

Mitchell, that 174 through 178. Examiner's

Exhibits, are in evidence, they are not, so

the only thing we are talking about is 179.

MR. MITCHELL: There are twenty-nine checks.

THE MASTER: Sir?

MR. ODAM: There are twenty-nine checks.

THE MASTER: Yes, sir, that's right.

MR. MITCHELL: I am certainly not prepared to defend on Farm and Ranch, Judge Meyers.

THE MASTER: How much time do you want?

MR. MITCHELL: I would say between a week and two weeks for twenty-nine checks.

MR. ODAM: Your Honor, may I make a comment?

THE MASTER: Of course, I'm not sure you say you had no notice. You get into a trial and a matter frequently comes into evidence that you don't know about, and this is in evidence rebutting something that you opened up.

MR. MITCHELL: Well, Your Honor, had these been checks to -- well, first, let me say this now that has been -- and so

3

5

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that it will be clear on the record, we have got three Attorney Generals that have a massive -- plus all of them other folks in Duval County, they have been over there digging this material up for the last four or five months, spent thousands of dollars of the tax payers' money and to tell me that they focus today on the fact that they cannot produce but seven checks or five checks, and out of fairness to the record. Your Honor, of course, I have had myself, and it seems to me that certainly that the Judicial Qualifications Commission could have set out in it's notice way back there in May or the amendment, tie-in all of these checks and we would have called -- at least, we would have been out on notice that we are going to be defending the Farm and Ranch.

I do not believe that THE MASTER: any pleader is required to plead his evidence and this is simply evidence rebutting something you opened up this morning in my It is not admitted to prove -view.

MR. MITCHELL: Well. I have no questions of this witness then, Judge,

THE MASTER: It is not admitted to prove misconduct. I did not admit it for that purpose. Do you have anything else, Mr. Odam?

MR. ODAM: No, sir, except to make the -THE MASTER: You are not going to call
Mr. Williams?

MR. ODAM: That is correct, in light of Mr. Mitchell's statement, I would not -- in his lack of preparedness to the new photostatic bar copy records, I would not at this time, and will not, call Mr. Williams to admit those.

So they stand simply on the bill of exceptions and these are simply to rebut the inference that no other checks were offered. That is the status of the record and we pass -- we have no further questions of this witness and --

(Discussion off the record.)

MR. ODAM: And the only evidence that we have left would be the final accounting of Mr. Bates which is back on the earlier article.

1 MR. MITCHELL: If I were prepared I 2 would have many questions of him, Judge 3 Meyers. I am not prepared and , consequently, I have no further questions of him. 5 THE MASTER: You may step down, Mr. 6 Couling. I guess Mr. Couling is free to 7 go. 8 MR. ODAM: Mr. Couling, you are a free 9 man. 10 THE MASTER: But not to take those 11 with you. 12 MR. OIAM: But not to take the checks. 13 (Discussion off the record.) 14 15 (Whereupon the witness was excused.) 16 THE MASTER: Where is the final account-17 ing that you want? 18 MR. ODAM: Mr. Flusche has it, sir. 19 THE MASTER: All right. 20 MR. MITCHELL: Your Honor, for the 21 purpose of the record, I understand the 22 Court now has refused a postponement or 23 request for a continuance to prepare for 24 cross-examination on 179-1 through 29. 25

THE MASTER: I refused a week or a week and a half, which is what your request was, was it not?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir, I think cuite frankly it would take me that much time, Judge, on this new aspect of the case.

THE MASTER: Excuse me just a minute, but for the record, I would recess certainly over the weekend and maybe until Tuesday or Wednesday of next week.

MR. MITCHELL: Well, suite frankly,
Your Honor, may I state that for the record,
I was prepared this morning to put on -when the Examiner indicated this was his
last witness, I was prepared, based on the
documentation previously that had gone into
evidence, to put on Judge Carrillo in
connection with the Exhibits 69, 75, 76, 82,
151, 152, 153, 154. I am not, however, willing to subject my client to testimony from
a facile witness as Mr. Couling has turned
out to be and E-179-1 through 29.

Now, that is the reason for my request for the additional time, it requires a complete reevaluation of my case and the posture

of the defense in the case, Judge Meyers, and for me not to be frank and open to this Court and this record, that I am surprised and I am conscient outly surprised at this point would be a failure to discharge my duty as a member of the Bar of this state for twenty-five years, if that means anything.

THE MASTER: As an attorney of twentyfive years of experience, you have certainly been surprised in the trial matters before.

MR. MITCHLIL: Oh, no question, it is quite a reoccurring experience, Judge Meyers.

THE MASTER: And all this is, is evidence admitted for a limited purpose of rebutting the suggestion that you made, that there were only these five checks that went to Judge Carrillo's benefit.

Now, I will also be candid with you,
I doubt that I will give too much weight
to these checks to the Farm and Ranch Store.
Obviously, he is a partner, but that is a
step removed.

MR. MITCHELL: That's right, Judge.

THE MASTER: I don't know how much weight I will give to this.

.

MR. MITCHELL: Had I thought, of course -as the Court well knows, my predicate duestions put to Mr. Couling were for the purpose
of setting a pattern for my case and for
putting of Judge Carrillo on the stand. I
don't -- there are other business entities
that I have no assurance they won't call
somebody now and show that he has got, let's
say, all of the checksgoing from Benavides
Implement and Hardware to the bank that he
owns ten shares of stock in. Lord knows,
that can be carried to an impossible end.

Now, if I were in a normal trial, Your Econor, I would agree with the Court, the Court has tried many civil cases and I have tried many, I know what occurs in a normal surprise, I am not -- I thought in this highly specialized type of procedure, akin to an indictment and akin to a criminal case where I was entitled to rely on the formal notice given to me, or at least the amended formal notice, and it is to that, that I have attached my case. That is, that the type of procedure, I know, Your Honor, that a case flows and gets into

surprise elements.

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, I say that the problem is further aggravated here because I think the peculiar type of proceedings doesn't require that I defend everything relating to the disqualification.

THE MASTER: But when you open something up, Counsel has a right to -- I would agree with you completely, Counsel could not have gotten in E-179-1 through 29 but for the questions you asked this morning of Mr. Couling.

MR. MITCHELL: Well. Your Honor, I --THE MASTER: Now, and it is only mildly, if the earlier Exhibit is the ones that were not admitted, that is 174 through 178, that would be very directly refutive or it would be a very direct rebuttal of that suggestion that there were only these five checks totaling seventy-four hundred dollars.

MR. MITCHELL: But this is the problem that we are faced with, Judge Meyers. We have the sworn testimony and a witness sponsored by the Examiner that a partnership came into existence between Ramiro Carrillo,

in May of 1971, and this gentlemen, Mr. Couling, of which my client was a silent partner and pursuant to that partnership these checks were paid to my part -- to my client.

If there is nothing in the record that the -- that there was anything tied in to that partnership arrangement in May of 1971, of Farm and Ranch, which is another partnership, between my client and still someone else.

THE MASTER: Well, Mr. Mitchell -MR. MITCHELL: That to me is why I -I had to -- I am put in the posture now of
facing an entirely different charge.

THE MASTER: Well, as I say, I will give you until Wednesday of next week and I would like to know whether that is accepted.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, it is accepted,

Judge, provided I can -- I don't want Mr.

Couling -- I have to get Mr. Couling back,

of course, Wednesday, and we will start

with him.

Yes, I annreciate it, Judge Meyers, and I am not being facetious, I will take

2 3

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it, I will take every bit of time I can get.

MR, ODAM: If that is the case then, Your Honor, to take three days to rebut the evidence which is the checks that were issued to Farm and Ranch. I don't know how you rebut checks that were issued, but if that is the state of the record, to take time to do that with those series of checks, we will withdraw those checks and proceed to put Judge Carrillo on the stand, and when Judge Carrillo goes on the stand, we will proceed from there.

They are -- 179-1 through 29 are withdrawn from evidence.

MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, Mr. Odam. Your Honor, as I understand it, Counsel is going to rest his case?

MR. ODAM: And the Examiners do rest their case and we will proceed from here.

MR. MITCHELL: And do we understand all of the testimony given in connection with 179-1 through 179-29 is stricken from the record?

> THE MASTER: Yes, sir.

MR. MITCHELL: And did he rest?

THE MASTER: Yes, sir.

MR. FLUSCHE: Your Honor, if I might --

THE MASTER: Well, he hasn't quite rested. We still have this inventory that you know about.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, I have no objection to the inventory, I know what Mr. Flusche has reference to, Judge Meyers.

THE MASTER: What is the Exhibit number?

MR. FLUSCHE: The Exhibit is E-40.

Would you take this and put it in for E-40

and take the old one out.

(Whereupon E-40 was substituted for Exhibit E-40 formerly in the record.)

Mr. Mitchell. do you want 1 THE MASTER: 2 to break to get organized or are you ready? 3 MR. MITCHELL: Your Honor, we would call as our first witness. Mr. Maurice Pipkin. 5 I might state for the record, he is not 6 here, and in connection with the First Amended Notice of Formal Procedure, the 7 R reason for that, and maybe we can dispense 9 with him, if the Court recalls, I put on Judge Carrillo on the preliminary matters 10 11 and if I can have an agreement Mr. Pipkin will take the stand and can authenticate the 12 13 original notice, then that can be offered for 14 this record, then that will conclude that 15 witness. THE MASTER: Let me see, the second 16 17 notice of informal proceeding --18 MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. 19 THE MASTER: -- was there a reply? 20 MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. 21 THE MASTER: And the formal proceeding? 22 MR. MITCHELL: Right. 23 THE MASTER: And then the amended notice? 24 MR. MITCHELL: Right, and the replies 25 to those. Judge Mevers.

ı THE MASTER: Your replies? 2 MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. 3 THE MASTER: That, I take it, can be stipulated, can it not, Mr. Odam? 5 MR. ODAM: Yes, I would think they are a part of the record in the proceeding. 7 MR. MITCHELL: I knew the Court did not admit them and we will offer them for the 9 full record. 10 MR. ODAM: We will stipulate they are 11 what they purport to be and they are so 12 stipulated. 13 MR. MITCHELL: I would like to break 14 for a short period and --15 THE MASTER: All right. I would like to break for twenty minutes and hear 17 testimony from 11:40 until 1:00 -- well, 18 11:45 until 1:00. 19 MR. ODAM: May I make a statement, if 20 the first witness is Judge Carrillo, it is 21 our intention to go into the notice of formal 22 proceeding. I take it from Mr. Mitchell's 23 statement, he is going to offer him only for 24 a limited purpose; however, I think it opens 25 it up and subjects him to full cross-examination.

MR. MITCHELL: I am not going to ask
this witness about each and every ground of
the specifications. I am going to go to the
First Amended Notice of Formal Procedure
without waiving my objection to it, but I
think I have to go to it.

May I have at this point some indication of my tender of Judge Carrillo, Your Honor, on Roman I, III and VIII; would that subject him to cross on those, and if so, I will not ask him about those?

THE MASTER: I don't know, I would like some assistance.

MR. MITCHELL: As the formal notice was adopted by the Examiner, that at the outset he said he would like to make a statement concerning the specifications he had a witness testify to and I would like to have this same thing as to whichever I might ask Judge Carrillo about.

THE MASTER: That is a matter which I contemplated when it was suggested that Judge Carrillo might take the witness stand.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir.

THE MASTER: Are you suggesting that he

1	can take the stand on a hearing of the merits
2	for the limited purpose of addressing certain
3	counts in the Amended Notice of Formal
4	Proceeding?
5	MR. MITCHELL: That is right.
6	THE MASTER: And still be asserting his
7	Fifth Amendment privilege to the rest?
8	MR. MITCHELL: Yes.
9	THE MASTER: You can probably do that,
10	but you will have to be awful careful.
11	MR. MITCHELL: Yes, Your Honor.
12	THE MASTER: Your idea is that it opens
13	up everything, Mr. Odam?
14	MR. ODAM: Yes, sir.
15	MR. MITCHELL: My intent was not to put
16	it on the Fifth Amendment basis.
17	THE MASTER: They say once you put him
18	on the stand to deny any of the counts, that
19	opens him up on all of the counts.
20	You were not limited in the cross-
21	examination of any of these witnesses because
22	they spoke on one count only.
23	MR. MITCHELL: Maybe they can come up
24	with case law, but I had understood that the
25	right to incriminate and the Miranda, I

would say that I --1 THE MASTER: Well, I am making it easy. 2 3 At this stage, I will limit the cross to the counts you open up, but you must understand, 5 if the law is contrary to your position, I will permit cross-examination on all counts. 6 7 I must say I don't know the law in this area. MR. MITCHELL: Other than the Miranda, 8 9 where it says you can stop it on the proceeding at any time. 10 11 THE MASILR: Well, that is for a criminal action and I can tell you I don't 12 13 know in this instance. 14 MR. MITCHELL: May I have just a minute, Judge? 15 THE MASTER: Yes. 16 MR. MITCHELL: All right. Let the record 17 18 show that I am consulting with Judge Carrillo. 19 THE MASTER: We are in recess. You 20 don't have to consult with him in court. 21 22 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) 23 MR. MITCHELL: May I call to the witness 24 25 stand, Judge O. P. Carrillo?

THE MASTER: Yes, have a seat, please, Judge Carrillo.

MR. ODAM: May I ask a question?

THE MASTER: Yes, sir.

MR. ODAM: When we talked about coming back on Monday or not, do I take it this would be your last witness and you rest after his testimony?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes.

THE MASTER: I assume that is conditioned on his cross-examination?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, as is the present plan, and in view of the stipulation and kindness of counsel to stipulate, Judge Carrillo would be the final witness, subject only to the matters as to the Court's disposition on technical rules of evidence.

MR. ODAM: As to the prospects of coming back on Monday, if the Master determines cross-examination would be limited to the matters related on direct, my question is, I can understand it would be limited, but if I were to ask -- that means I would not be permitted to ask questions on the other matters?

THE MASTER: No, I am not saying that you would be limited on that at all, but you can't make a bill.

Now, Mr. Mitchell, as we were here informally before the reporter came in, I told you and the Examiner that at this stage I would permit Judge Carrillo to take the stand and to testify with respect to individual counts contained in the First Amended Notice of Formal Proceeding. These are designated by a Roman Numeral I through XII. I also stated that I did not know whether the law was that he would thereby open himself up for cross-examination with respect to all of those paragraphs or whether cross-examination would be limited to the paragraphs on which he testified.

MR. MITCHELL: That is correct.

THE MASTER: You indicated to me you contend cross-examination is limited to the paragraphs about which he testifies and you intend to be very careful to so limit his testimony.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir.

THE MASTER: The Examiner contends that

irrespective of that, by taking the stand and testifying to any paragraph, he opens himself to all, is that your understanding?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes.

THE MASTER: Judge Carrillo, is that your understanding?

JUDGE CARRILLO: Yes, sir.

THE MASTER: You are a judge and a lawyer,
Judge Carrillo.

JUDGE CARRILLO: Yes, sir.

THE MASTER: And you know by taking the witness stand, anything you say may be used against you in a criminal proceeding?

JUDGE CARRILLO: Yes, sir.

THE MASTER: And you know you waive your privilege against testifying, certainly as to the matters of which you testify, do you understand that?

JUDGE CARRILLO: Well, Your Honor -- well, would you restate that?

THE MASTER: Do you understand that if you take the stand and testify with respect to matters in any of the paragraphs, that the Examiner has the right to cross-examine you fully on those paragraphs?

1 JUDGE CARRILLO: Yes, sir. 2 THE MASTER: And you voluntarily take 3 the stand with that knowledge? JUDGE CARRILLO: Yes, sir. I thought 5 Your Honor was trying to say that if I was forced to testify on one --6 THE MASTER: No, sir. You also understand you may very well, 9 by taking the stand, by testifying to one or 10 two or three of these paragraphs, open up 11 yourself to cross-examination on all twelve? 12 JUDGE CARRILLO: Yes, if that is the 13 law, I will do that. 14 THE MASTER: And you are willing to 15 take the stand with that understanding? 16 JUDGE CARRILLO: Yes, sir. 17 THE MASTER: Very well. With that 18 understanding, will you raise your hand and 19 be sworn. 20 21 22 23 24

3

JUDGE O. P. CARRILLO,

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn upon his oath to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, then testified as follows, to-wit:

5 6

EXAMINATION

9

7

BY MR. MITCHELL:

10

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q State your name for the record.
- 12 | A O. P. Carrillo.
 - Q You previously testified in this case in connection with preliminary matters, have you not?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q And you are the same Judge Carrillo?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
 - Q I have handed you a copy of the First Amended Notice of Formal Proceeding.
 - A Yes, sir.

MR. MITCHELL: May I make this statement for the record. While I intend to ask the witness, Judge Carrillo, about the matters raised in the First Amended Notice, I do so without the desire and with the

1 specific intent to the contrary of waiving 2 any and all matters heretofore raised and 3 brought to the attention of the Court as to the validity of the First Notice, and without 5 regarding to waiving especially those pleas in abatement or any objections made of record. 7 THE MASTER: Well, I think the exceptions have been ruled upon. 9 MR. MITCHELL: Yes, insofar as 10 permissible by law. I do so out of an 11 abundance of caution and I want to --12 THE MASTER: I don't believe by calling 13 him as a witness you waive anything and I 14 don't deem it that way. MR. MITCHELL: Thank you. 16 Q (By Mr. Mitchell) I am going to ask you certain 17 questions, Judge Carrillo, and I am going to 18 refer to specific paragraphs of the First Amended 19 Notice. 20 A Yes, sir. 21 Q I am going to ask you questions about Roman 22 Paragraph I. 23 Yes, sir. A THE MASTER: And this is directed to I

entirely?

1 MR. MITCHELL: Yes, Your Honor. In 2 view of the fact the witness, being a judge 3 and attorney, and, of course, I recognize the rules on leading my own witness and I, 5 of course, recognize the rule by which I 6 might request the Court to give me some 7 latitude in that regard. 8 THE MASTER: Yes, sir. 9 Q (By Mr. Mitchell) Without attempting to restate 10 the record, you recall the testimony as regards 11 Roman Numeral I? 12 A Yes, sir. 13 Q Let me ask you, first of all, did you at any 14 time enter into any agreement, conspiracy or 15 understanding with your brother, Ramiro Carrillo, 16 to wrongfully obtain from the public, that is, 17 Duval County funds, three hundred dollars a month 18 up until May, 1975? 19 Α No, sir. 20 Did you enter into an agreement with anybody, Q 21 including Ramiro Carrillo, at any time, to 22 unlawfully secure from Duval County any money as 23 relates to the money of public funds to the Cash

24

25

A

Store?

No. sir.

1	Q	Now, there is in evidence as in 1972, some adding
2		machine tapes; do you recall that testimony?
3	A	Yes, sir.
4	Q	And there is in evidence testimony from the witness,
5		Cleofus Gonzalez, Mrs. Yzaguirre and Mr. Rudolfo
6	<u> </u> :	Couling, as to the operation of the welfare program
7		in Duval County.
8	A	Yes, sir.
9	Q	I direct your attention to that testimony. I
10		will ask you, first, as a predicate question,
11		when were you firs' of all elected to your present
12		term?
13	- A	I was elected at the general election of November,
14		1974.
15	Q	And you took the oath of office when?
16	A	Approximately the 2nd of January, 1975.
17	Q	You were in the courtroom when the Examiner's
18		attorney entered into the stipulation as regards
19		the Cash Store disbursements of January through
20		March, 1975?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And prior to January of this year?
23	A	Yes, sir.
24	Q	And going back to the sixties, when did you first
25		become a public official in Duval County?
		

A I was elected county attorney at the general	.
election, I guess in 1960 and took office in	1961.
Q All right.	
A Or '59 and took office in '60, but I think it	was
5 '60. I am not sure, whatever the record refl	lects.
Q You were a public official beginning from 196	51
and coming on up to date, is that a fair stat	ement?
8 A Yes, sir.	
9 Q Beginning in 1961, was there a well establish	ned
custom and procedure in Duval County as regar	rds
the welfare program?	
12 A Yes, sir.	
Q Can you describe that for us? I am interest	ad
in the state program from which monies were	
appropriated by the Commissioners Court; do	you
understand?	
17 A Yes, sir.	
Q Tell the Court what the program was.	
19 A The program was for persons who needed help,	who
would approach a county official who had bee	n
designated as such to grant assistance to ne	edy
people and there were several persons who we	re
so authorized to issue orders.	
Q From whom would that authorization come?	
A It would be from the Commissioners Court.	

1	Q	It is testified that Ramiro Carrillo is your
2		brother?
3	A	Yes, sir.
4	Q	And he is a commissioner in Precinct 2?
5	A	Precinct 3.
6	Q	Precinct 3, I am sorry.
7		When was he elected?
8	A	He was elected when he took office, he was
9		named by the Commissioners Court after the death
10		of Atlee Parr, who was then commissioner in 1967.
11	Q	From 1961 to 1967, Kamiro Carrillo was not a
12		commissioner?
13	A	No, sir.
14	Q	Did you participate in the welfare program from
15		1961 to '67?
16	A	Yes, sir.
17	- Q	Tell us in what capacity.
18	A	I would authorize giving of welfare orders to
19		needy people.
20	Q	From whom did you receive your authority?
21	A	The Commissioners Court.
22	Q	And state whether or not other persons were
23		likewise given that authority?
24	A	Yes, sir.
25	Q	Now, from 1961 to 1967, who, besides the county

	il .	
1		attorney was able to do so?
2	A	There was Mrs. Elvira DeLeon.
3	Q	Elvira DeLeon is the official welfare officer of
4		the county?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	And is to this day?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And she has, from 1960 to date, had the authority
9		to pass on the qualifications of persons to
10		receive welfare?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Would the members of the Commissioners Court have
13		that authority as well?
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Q	Also other persons designated by the Commissioners
16		Court?
17	·A	Yes, sir.
18	Q	I will ask you what other persons besides the
19		county attorney, which was yourself, would have
20		been designated as persons authorized by the
21		Commissioners Court to receive welfare?
22	A	As far as I remember, every elected county
23		official was authorized, within a certain budget,
24		which they could give.
25	Q	That was the next question. When the authorization

1 would be ruled on by the Commissioners Court. 2 would that be unlimited or would it be restricted? 3 A There was a budget for each commissioners precinct. Q And would that be in turn broken down to the 5 persons in that precinct? 6 A Yes. 7 What was your budget in 1961 to 1967? Q 8 A I don't remember the exact amount for the precincts, 9 Q Strike that. 10 I want your individual -- if you don't 11 recall, I want to move from 1967 to date. 12 Did you have an authorization after 1967 --13 strike that. 14 Let's go back from 1961 to '67. 15 interested in your own budget. 16 Α Well, let me put it this way. In Precinct 3, 17 Atlee Parr and myself were the elected officials 18 who issued out the welfare orders, but we 19 discovered there were folks coming to me and 20 getting an order for groceries and then they 21 would go to Atlee Parr and get an order for 22 groceries, also and we were being duplicated, 23 so what happened, we sort of set up an office 24 and Mr. Atlee Parr would refer persons to me 25 with a little note or call me on the phone or

something and say it is all right to go ahead and give Mr. Garcia, for example, a thirty dollar grocery order or a one hundred dollar order for a funeral or hospitalization or whatever it was, and I okayed it and would give out the official order.

CHATHAM & ASSOCIATES
COURT TREPORTERS
717 ANTELOPE - GUARANTY BANK PLAZA
CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS 78401

	11	
1	Q	And was that for the purpose of precluding a
2		stacking up?
3	A	That's right.
4	Q	Is that right?
5	A	That is correct.
6	Q	Now, Judge Carrillo, was there a time there you
7		were not authorized to assist in the welfare pro-
8		gram, you individually?
9	A	No, sir.
10	Q	All right. Now, I'll ask you, at the time that
11		you are testifying this 61 to 67, were all of
12		these orders in wellbound books and kept?
13	A	They were.
14	Q	Do you recall Mr. Couling's testimony about the
15	i	white copies, the pink copy?
16	A	Yes, sir,
17	Q	And the green, I believe, copy, do you recall
18		those books?
19	A	I remember the books.
20	Q	All right, let me ask you what taking a person
21		other than Elvira DeLeon, what would that person
22	ļ. 	execute how would that person evidence the
23		fact that he had applied to buy a recipient or
24		prospective recipient, how would be go about that?
25	A	You mean the individual that came looking for help?

Q Yes, sir.

There was a special form that was filled out and sent to whoever had given the service, that would be groceries, medical or what have you.

However, sometimes folks would meet you down on the street, it is a small community where a lot of people know a lot of persons, and they would come in and meet you down on the street and say, "Look, I am going to need some groceries and I am going to need some medicine or something", and I was quite busy with my job to begin with and so what I did was there was a Mr. George Zertuche, Junior, who was working with the tax office, the school district tax office, and Mr. Rudolfo Couling, and what they were doing, they were, in fact, handling the welfare business for me.

Then, I would either sign some of these orders in blank when they came in with some of these books or they would come in and they would say we gave orders to certain individuals and would you sign the authorization for them.

- Q I believe Mr. Couling so testified, Judge Carrillo.
- A Yes.
- Q Now, would you ever -- of course, you trusted

1 Mr. Couling and Mr. Zertuche, Junior? 2 Yes, sir. 3 To take the orders directly, am I correct? Α Yes, sir. 5 All right, I will ask you --6 MR. ODAM: Pardon me, may I ask a 7 question on voir dire for the purpose of 8 deciding whether or not to pose an objection 9 to this testimony? 10 THE MASTER: Certainly. 11 12 13 14 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. ODAM: 16 17 Maybe I noted it earlier, what period of time 18 are we talking about that you were authorized to --19 MR. MITCHELL: My questions are only 20 reaching 61 to 67 at this time, Counsel, 21 and I do intend to come forward. 22 MR. ODAM: Well, Your Honor, I would 23 object then, the proceedings that we have 24 before us to begin with, the time that he

assumed duties as a District Judge of the

229th until the time of May of 1975, the time that this witness is testifying to is not in question and is, therefore, irrelevant to the scheme which the direct evidence went to and the questions as to how he handled the business with George Zertuche, Junior, which is not in question and how he handled it with Rudolfo Cooling is not in question, is irrelevant to paragraph 1.

THE MASTER: I assume, Mr. Mitchell, that this is as you said initially the way welfare was handled in Duval County and I take it you are just going to bring it on up and attempt to show that it was sort of the same way.

MR. MITCHELL: That's right.

THE MASTER: In 71, 72 and 73.

MR. MITCHELL: Right on up to date, that's right, Judge Meyers.

THE MASTER: I think the background is admissible.

MR. MITCHELL: I will try to be brief.

EXAMINATION CONTINUED

2

BY MR. MITCHELL:

4 5

ß

Q Judge Carrillo, in light of the objection and the Court's instruction, let me move from the period of 1967 to December 31st, 1974, are you following me?

7

A Yes.

9

10

Q Were there any significant changes in the methods used in the county for the welfare program that you recall either as to groceries, medical, drugs,

the exception of the fact that I became inactive

the commissioners court withdrew the authority

Now, you first became elected District Judge of

the time to devote to the program.

insofar as that program was concerned, not because

from me to issue orders, but because I didn't have

11

et cetera?

A The procedure in the county was the same with

13

14

15

16

17

. 18

19

20

21

--

22

__

23

24

25

Q And you served --

the 229th when?

A I took office in 71.

Q All right.

1971.

A I was elected in 1970.

	f I	
1	Q	So the record is complete, you took office in
2		1971?
3	A	71.
4	Q	And that term expired December 31st, 1974?
5	A	That's correct,
6	Q	And then you took office again January of 1975?
7	A	Yes, sir.
. 8	Q	Do your activities
9		MR. MITCHELL: Strike that.
10	Q	When you became District Judge the first time,
11		what was your schedule? What was your district
12		and what was your schedule?
13	A	There were three counties involved and it was a
14		heavy schedule all the way through and I just
15	}	didn't have time at all for the welfare program.
16	Q	You have heard the testimony as regards the
17		weekly schedule that you had prescribed for yur-
18)	self?
19	A	Yes, sir, I had a week for each county and an
20		open week which sent me to whatever county
21		wherever I was needed,
22	Q	All right. Now, let me get specific on Roman
23		number 1, the budget Mrs. Yeaguirre talked about
24		of three hundred dollars. What does she have
25		reference to, if you know?

1 I don't --2 Excuse me. Judge Carrillo, I'm talking now from 3 the time of 1970 to December of 1974, Yes, sir. 5 What -- the three hundred dollars a month. 6 Well, I really was never limited to three hundred 7 dollars a month or to anything like that except 8 that during those years that she was talking 9 about, I was not really participating at all in 10 the welfare program. 11 There were occasional times when folks would 12 approach me for orders or for something and I 13 would refer them to either send them over to the 14 county commissioner or send them over to Cleofus' 15 Gonzalez who was handling the program at the 16 time. 17 I, myself, wasn't handling any of the 18 program at that time at all. 19 All right. 20 And I became District Judge and I hd nothing to 21 do whatsoever with it. 22 Your marital status has always been that of a 23 single man? 24 Yes, sir. 25 You are a bachelor?

1 A Yes, sir. 2 And you live there in the city, when you are in the Benavides area, with your dad and mother? 4 Α That's correct. 5 And when you're out on the circuit in Starr County 6 and in Jim Hogg County? 7 Jim Hogg and Starr. 8 Q You est out, I suppose, do you not? 9 Well, the noon hour. I travel back and forth 10 because my folks are elderly and I commute daily. 11 You have traded with the Cash Store, I suppose, 12 for many years. 13 For many years. 14 What other stores have you traded with? 15 Ramiro's Drivein Grocery in Benavides. 16 are about approximately three drive-ins in 17 Hebbronville that I trade with. I don't -- one 18 is Hillcrest and one is Gonzalez -- and Hillcrest 19 owns two locations, and I traded at both. 20 There is a store in Freer, the Value Mart 21 and in Rio Grande City, plus I think it is 22 Gonzalez Market, I am not sure. 23 Do you have your own personal account at these 24 stores, including the Cash Store?

25

A

Yes, sir.

1 Q And how often -- I believe the testimony was that 2 you pay that up twice a month? 3 I pay that up whenever I -- whenever it becomes appropriate. There is no set time. I will come 5 in and pay so much on an account or pay off the whole thing or if I buy fifteen dollars worth of 7 groceries, I may fifteen dollars worth. Sometimes I charge and sometimes I don't. 9 Judge Carrillo, for the forty-eight months of 10 1970 to 74, have you ripped off or stolen from 11 the county fourteen chousand dollars worth of 12 groceries? 13 No. sir, I have not. 14 0 If so, I want to know where you put them. 15 testimony is you have not? 16 Α I have not. 17 And the accusations or allegations contained in 18 Specification 1 is simply not true, is that 19 your testimony? 20 Α It is not true. 21 Q All right. 22 MR. MITCHELL: I move, if I might be 23 permitted, Your Honor, to Roman II and ask 24 only one question in that connection. 25 I believe the record has been introduced here as Q

•	*	relates to the transaction in Roman Numeral II,
2	<u> </u>	has it not, that is the Mangus-Guerra case?
3	A	Yes, str.
4	Q	All right, I'll ask you, you have heard Mr.
		Smith's testimony, Mr. Garland Smith's testimony?
•	h } ∧	Yes, sir.
7	Q	And you know it has been in the evidence is
•	i! 	the motion to disqualify?
9	٨	Yes, sir.
10	0	I will ask you one question on that: When Mr.
11		Garland Smith filed the first motion to disqualify
12		you, what was your action at that time?
13	A	The moment that the moment the motion to
14		disqualify was presented to me, while I was on
15		the bench, I immediately recessed the case and
16		went over to Edinburg and talked to the administra-
17		tive judge.
18	0	Who is that?
19	A	Who was Judge J. R. Alamta.
20	0	That would have been in the first part of
21		1971?
22	A	Whatever the date was.
20	Q	All right.
24	A	When the motion was presented to me, there was
25		no further proceedings held. I immediately halted

the proceedings, got off the bench, recessed the hearing, got off the bench, got in my car and drove to Edinburg and talked to Judge Alamia and explained to him the motion to disqualify me had been filed, I believe, for the reason that I owned stock in the bank and whatever reasons there were and he suggested that I go to my office and request, in writing, that some other judge hear the motion, whether or not I was qualified. I went back to my office and did exactly that.

- Q And now, Judge Carrillo, the evidence was, I believe, Mr. Garland Smith has filed three motions to disqualify, basic motions to disqualify, supplemental motion to disqualify and still a third motion to disqualify, do you recall that?
- A That is possibly so.
- O Yes, sir,
- A Because I never went back to the courtroom or the hearing at all.
- Q My question out to you is the only one you ever saw was the first one?
- A That's correct.
- Q And the considerable -- the record speaks a difference in the allegations?

- Q Well, let me ask you this, in following the procedure that you followed, that is in not passing on your own qualification and letting Judge Magus Smith, which was appointed by your administrative judge to hear the matters, were you following what you considered the law to be?
- A Ym, sir, I was told I could either hear the motion myself and pass on it myself or let another judge hear it. Now. I preferred to have another judge hear it than to hear it myself.
- Q You understand specification 2 charges you with error in not disqualifying yourself from presiding over the case as a gross error and abuse of judicial discretion, you know that?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q As a matter of fact, you know the Court of Civil Appeals that we are sitting in the room where they preside, recently handed down a decision to the effect that the trial court cannot pass upon his own qualification and should call in another judge to make that determination, am I correct?
- A I am not acquainted with that opinion, sir, but that is exactly the procedure that I followed.

. 17

- Q All right.
- A I might state that I had not been on the bench that long and I didn't know what else to do except to go to my administrative judge and get advice from him.
- Q That is what I was going to ask you, this all occurred within the first month of your office?
- A Not within the first month, but it was the first time that a motion to disqualify me had been filed before me and I wasn't familiar with the procedure that I should follow and I wanted to talk to Judge Alamia and get some advise on it.
- Q And the procedure followed was the one that you discussed with Judge Alamia?
- A That is exactly correct.
- Q All right.

MR. MITCHELL: Your Honor, in connection with Roman II we call the Court's attention, of course, and the Court is aware to the prior testimony of MangusSmith as well as to the documentation that has been introduced into evidence.

THE MASTER: You said Mangus Smith.

I didn't recall Mangus Smith testifying in this case.

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MITCHELL: No, no, I'm sorry, the hearing before Judge Mangus Smith which is in evidence.

THE MASTER: Yes.

THE WITNESS: By the way, his name is not Mangus, it is Magus.

THE WITNESS: That is right, his son is practicing law in Austin.

THE MASTER: We don't went to get him confused with Mr. Mangus.

THE MASTER: That's right.

MR. MITCHELL: With that, then, we would pass from Roman II.

- Q I'll ask you now, Judge Carrillo, if you will take the first amended notice of formal procedure there in front of you, please, sir.
- A Yes, sir.

MR. MITCHELL: I am going to ask questions, if it please the Court, as regards -- may I ask him a question, Your Honor, before I -- off the record.

THE MASTER: Yes, sir.

(Discussion off the record.)

1 MR. MITCHELL: Yes, now to Roman IV. 2 You are asked, the formal notice Roman IV states 3 that you wrongfully appropriated for your benefit the services of Francisco Ruiz. Who is Francisco 5 Ruiz? A He is a resident of Benavides, my home town, and 7 he used to work for the county. He is now employed by what we normally call the water dis-9 trict. 10 All right, now, the specifications point out 11 that from January the 1st of 71 until January 12 of 74, Judge Carrillo, that you appropriated his 13 services on many occasions. Can you speak to 14 that, please, that formal charge? 15 Yes, sir, I can. I had a regular welder who is Mr. 16 Crisiforo Chapa who testified here. 17 That was Ruben and Rudolfo's father, he was on 18 your payroll? 19 Not on the payroll, but he was taking care of 20 all of the welding that needed to be done at 21 my ranch and he received an amount of four or 22 five thousand dollars or more a year for the 23 work that he did. 24 He was the regular welder that I used at 25 There was Mr. Francisco Ruiz who did my place.

•

go to my ranch on a weekend when Mr. Chapa was not available and it was not on a matter that was a big job. It was a small job that needed nothing over thirty minutes to take care of.

We went in there and he did the job that was supposed to be done and he kent the welding machine at his residence on weekends because he did work for other folks on weekends and on Thursday, I think he had every Thursday off from the county, he did other work.

I asked him to come over to the ranch, if
he would, and that I would pay him for it and
he was -- at the time he was making some gates,
I remember it as if I was going right there today,
he was making some gates for an individual and
I don't remember his name, he was making some -he was welding some gates for an individual.
He said, "As soon as I get through here, I will
be over there."

And I said well, do you have any idea how long it's going to be and he said, "No, it shouldn't take very long", so we just waited for him and hitched the trailer, I mean the welding rig, which was -- it is mounted on a little trailer, onto my own pickup and took him

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

over there and he did the job and we remained at the ranch after he did the job and had some heer, him and the other folks over there. We were there for a couple of hours, then we came on back.

- Q Was he on county time when he went over there?
- A He was not on county time, it was on the weekend.
- Q And did you my him?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Out of your own funds?
- A Out of my own funds.
- Q And how much time was consumed in that?
- A Well, it was a matter of just using his torch and cutting something loose. It wasn't like, I say, the major welding jobs were done either by Mr. Crisiforo Chapa or a welder over in Three Rivers, I think it is.
- Q Mr. Francisco Ruiz, you deny using his services on repeated occasions as set out in Roman IV?
- A That's correct, as I was paying good money for the regular welder that I had.
- Q Let me ask you, Judge Carrillo --

MR. MITCHELL: And I ask this, Your Honor, this question as a background to Roman IV, V and perhaps some of the others,

1 the political --2 THE MASTER: Now, wait a minute, you're -3 MR. MITCHELL: I had better be a little more specific. 5 THE MASTER: You're making the lines 6 fuzzy, Mr. Mitchell. 7 MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, Your Honor, let me withdraw that question and let me 9 ask you: 'Francisco Ruiz nolitically today 10 is aligned -- is he aligned for you or 11 against you or oo you have any indication, 12 trying to make --13 He is against the political association with which 14 I am affiliated with. 15 Is that also true of Ruben Chaps and Rudolfo Chaps? 16 Α Yes, sir. 17 Oscar Sanchez? 18 Yes. sir. 19 And Vicente Chana? 20 Yes, sir. 21 0 Mr. Coding? 22 Yes, sir. 23 And Arnulfo Guerra has previously testified of 24 petitions to remove, he being the District Attorney 25 of that county, that were filed with you in May of

this year?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Tell us, is that when the solit occurred between you, the District Judge acting on Arnulfo Guerra's petitions and these gentlemen including Francisco Ruiz?
- Parr family occurred in May of 74. These petitions were not filed until March of 75 but I might state this, that when that split happened, I, myself, holding the position that I had -- that I held, rather, I was not active, mostly in the politics and I remained friendly with George Parr and Archer Parr as far as that is concerned.
- Q Didn't part of that split occur, I believe, as a matter of fact members of your family were called on to testify in federal Grand Jury on the George Parr and the Archer Parr cases?
- A The day the trial ended and the part -- they were just about the same, one was held in San Antonio and one was held over in Corous, the day the last trial ended, my father and my brother had both testified against the Parrs.

When my father returned to home, there was a letter brought to him resigning from the water

1 district and all of the other three members of 2 the water -- who were on the water board had 3 also testified and all were presented with the same letter from George Parr and they had signed 5 it and they had been removed or rather replaced. The letter was brought to my father to sign and 7 resign and he said fine, he got off, 8 0 That dates pretty well the solit between the 9 Parm and the Carrillos? 10 I think those convictions were approximately in 11 May of 74, somewhere along that time. 12 And then Mr. Arnulfo Guerra, the District Attorney, 13 has testified that he prosecuted or filed with 14 the district clerk's office petitions to remove 15 members of the Benavides Independent School Dis-16 trict, I believe? 17 Yes, sir, but that was in March of 1975. 18 I understand, and that precipitated a further Q 19 rift between what had been the Parr faction and 20 the Carrillos, did it not? 21 Up until that time, I, myself personally, had --22 was still friendly and still visited with both 23 Archer Parr and George Parr, 24 When the petition -- when the District 25

Attorney advised me that he was filing these

1 netitions, and the word got out, the fireworks 2 started. 3 And that is the scene described by the District Attorney very vividly in his testimony given S here that when the Parrs appeared at the courtroom wearing guns, am I correct? 7 THE MASTER: Let's not let the record reflect that the District Attorney testified 9 here. He testified before the Senate 10 Select Committee and that testimony has 11 been stipulated here. 12 MR. MITCHELL: Yes. Your Honor, but I 13 would like for it to be considered as part 14 of ours. 15 Oh, yes, I just dn't want THE MASTER: 16 anybody to think he actually was here testi-17 fying. 18 MR. MITCHELL: At the House --19 MR. FLUSCHE: It hasn't been admitted 20 yet, Your Honor, 21 THE MASTER: It has not been admitted. 22 MR. ODAM: Your Honor, I would urge the 23 objection of relevancy as pertaining to the 24 political guarrel or dispute, however Mr.

Mitchell and Judge Carrillo wish to

characterize it between the Parrs and the Carrillos.

THE MASTER: Overruled, that seems to me to go to bias and motive for testifying very clearly.

- And I believe the testimony and the Court will have an opportunity to review the testimony of the District Attorney that there was no prior conversation or conspiracy or agreement or collusion between you and the District Attorney as regards the filing of any of these actions by the District Attorney, am I correct, Judge Carrillo?
- A He told me he was going to do it and I, as the judge, had no choice in the matter, if he filed a netition I had to entertain it.

A Gette ou That to entertain 72.

]]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Q	Judge Carrillo, Cleofus Gonzalez, where is he now
2		working?
3	A	He is over at the Benavides Implement and
4		Hardware.
5	Q	How about Rudolfo Couling?
6	A	Benavides Implement and Hardware.
7	Q	Ruben Chapa?
8	A	Benavides Implement and Hardware.
9	Q	Oscar Sanchez?
10	A	Benavides Implement and Hardware.
11		MR. ODAM: I object to that. These
12		witnesses have stated where they testified
13		to and I don't know how Ruben Chapa works
14	}	for Benavides Implement and Hardware. The
15		witness has testified where he worked and
16) }	Mr. Couling has testified where he worked.
17		If the testimony is that Mr. Couling
18		maybe you should clarify what you mean.
19		MR. MITCHELL: Well, we will ask the
20		witness.
21	Q	(By Mr. Mitchell) Where are these people working?
22	A	They are located there. They are not working for
23		Benavides Implement and Hardware. It is a building
24		only that they are in that building.
25	Q	Working out of that building?

1	A	Yes.
2		THE MASTER: So when you say Benavides
3		Implement and Hardware, you mean the
4		building?
5		THE WITNESS: Yes, I am referring to the
6		location.
7	Q	(By Mr. Mitchell) Is that Benavides Implement and
8		Hardware business conducting the business out of
9		that building?
10	A	Yes, sir.
11	Q	Is that the one Cleafus Gonzalez now owns?
12	A	Wait a minute, I am not going to say he does own
13		it, but he claims he does.
14	Q	Is that the one that Rudolfo Couling previously
15		owned?
16	A	Yes, sir.
17	Q	What connection does the water district have with
18		it?
19	A	They have an office in that building.
20	Q	And these other gentlemen, the Chapas and the
21		others work for the water district in that
22		building?
23	A	Yes, and out of a shop directly behind the
24		building in another building, but within a fenced
25		area.

1	Q	Do you deny on, Roman Numeral IV, the
2		appropriation of the services and labor of
3		Francisco Ruiz?
4	A	Yes, if I had, I would not have spent the thousands
5		of dollars I did spend for welding.
6	Q	Let me ask you now on Count 5. Do you recall the
7		testimony of Oscar Sanchez and you recall, I am
8		sure, the photographs that were introduced in
9	· .	evidence and you recall Mr. George Zertuche, Sr.'s
10		testimony?
11	A	Yes, sir.
12	Q	And Patricio Garza's testimony?
13	A	Yes, sir.
14	Q	The occasion, please, sir, Oscar Sanchez came out
15		to the strike that.
16		What ranch was that?
17	A	The Calvin North Ranch.
18	Q	Tell the Court and the record about the Calvin
19		North Ranch. Was it a ranch owned by you at the
20		time this reservoir was built?
21	A	No, at the location where the reservoir was built,
22		it consisted of one hundred and sixty acres. I
23		had bought one hundred and six acres at that time.
24	Q	At what time?
25	A	At the time the water reservoir was dug. What
	II	

1		they are referring to as a water reservoir was
2		a I would say it was a water hole of
3	† F	approximately ten yards wide, maybe not that,
4	!	but I would say ten yards wide by twenty yards
5		long.
6	Q	For whose benefit was that being dug?
7	A	Calvin North.
8	Q	Why was it being dug on his ranch?
9	A	Because he wanted it.
10	Q	Did you have an agreement or contract to buy that
11		ranch at that time?
12	A	I had bought part of the ranch and Mr. North and
13		I were very friendly and he would come in on the
14		weekends from Laredo and spend the weekends on the
15		ranch. He would not sell that piece of property,
16		because that is the way he spent his time in his
17		retired years.
18	Q	What year was that get-together at the ranch?
19	A	From the truck that I know I owned, and from
20		looking at the license plate, that had to be in
21		the summer of 1964.
22	Q	All right.
23	A	And it certainly could not have been later than
24		165.
25	Q	Was there any work done on your ranch by Oscar

1		Sanchez, as relates to appropriating his services?
2	A	No, that is the only time is when the water hole
3		was dug and there was not a single one dug after
4		that until recently and it was dug by people
5		working with my equipment.
6	Q	Do you know anything else about the allegations
7		in Roman V as to having a conspiracy with Ramiro
8		Carrillo?
9	A	My brother was not a commissioner at that time.
10		Atlee Parr was and it is not unusual.
11	Q	As of this particular point, you are quite sure
12		the occasion was '64 to '65?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And you didn't own the land at that time?
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	Now, moving to Roman VI, the backhoe, do you
17		recall that?
18	A	Yes, sir.
19	Q	Tell us what happened about the backhoe? You don't
20		deny that the backhoe was out on your piece of
21		property when you were building a store, do you?
22	A	No, sir.
23	Q	Tell us the date.
24	A	The date had to be around November, I would say,
25		of 1973.
	1	1

1	Q	All right, sir. What was the occasion of the
2		use of the backhoe?
3	A	It is on the occasion I had a turnkey job with a
4		contractor.
5	Q	What was this gentleman's name, Judge?
6	A	Alejos Ramirez, Jr.
7	Q	And who what was the turnkey job for?
8	A	It was a turnkey job. He was supposed to lay the
9		foundation and set the concrete blocks for the
10		building and put the roof on the building.
11	Q	What type of building?
12	A	It is a concrete block building.
13	Q	Where was it built?
14	A	It was being built at the intersection of
15		Highway 16 and the what they normally call the
16		Borjas Highway. I don't recall the number.
17	Q	Who owned the land on which it was being built?
18	A	It was owned by my sister, but the building was
19		mine.
20	Q	First of all, was strike that.
21		Give us the narrative of the involvement of
22		the backhoe.
23	A	I went over to see how things were going. They
24		were going to pour the concrete and they had
25		already laid the foundation and whatever it is to

do o
were
some
alwa
help
was
didn

A

do on the wiring and the rods and so forth. They were going to pour the concrete. I suggested that some high school kids be hired, because they were always looking for jobs on the weekend and I always helped them out, and the contractor, of course, he was not about -- he had a turnkey job and he didn't want to hire them, additional people, and I told him I would pay them myself.

When I went over there that one day, I discovered what they were doing. They were working the kids harder than the old men were working.

They had them shoveling gravel and concrete into the mixer and working them a little too hard.

Q How did that affect you?

I came into town and it didn't set too well with me and I told the contractor to take it easy with them. Ruben Chapa was with me that day, because he had approached me about cosigning a note with him. He was trying to buy the Texaco station where he was working and he wanted someone to go to the bank and talk to the banker and that happens to me all the time, and see if I could arrange a loan for him to see if he could buy this filling station. I didn't think a filling station was the business to go into at the time because

of the energy crisis, but he was talking about

it and we came into town and we noticed the backhos

was sitting right in front of the old city hall.

Q You knew who owned the backhoe?

Α

I knew the backhoe belonged to the water district and I knew it was not unusual for it to be loaned out or rented out. The gentlemen who worked it generally worked the backhoe after hours to make extra money and I went over and I looked for the men, the two men that worked the backhoe.

I looked for one individual, Guadalupe Ruiz,
I think it is, and I could not find them, so
then we went over to see this other young man,
whose name escapes me, but I do have it in the
files.

The other young man, we went to see him, and he told me he was busy doing something. He said the backhoe was not being used and you can use it, but I cannot go with it. I thought it would help the kids out if we could take the backhoe out there and take the load off them.

The man said he could not go and he said the key is in the ashtray of the pickup sitting over there at Guadalupe Ruiz's house, so we went over there and got the key and then went by and got a

1 six wheel trailer, which I owned the trailer, and hooked it behind the pickup and loaded the backhoe 3 behind that trailer and took it over to where the store was being constructed and Tomas Elizondo 5 was with me and he operated the thing and it worked 6 over there three hours and we brought it back in and that was it. 8 A total amount of three hours? Q 9 A Yes. 10 And only on that day in question? Q 11 A Yes, to have to pour concrete and get it over 12 with and that was it. 13 0 Let me ask you, as regards the custom, if any, 14 on the use of equipment in Duval County. 15 Testimony is replete here on other persons, 16 but you are an attorney and judge. 17 What is the custom and habit, if there is 18 such, as regards the use of the equipment of 19 the county? 20 There is no rancher or property owner in Duval 21 County that at one time or another has not used 22 or has had sent by the commissioners or other 23 persons, used either the equipment of the county 24 that is needed or rented it or something.

a practice in Duval County and all of the

25

1		neighboring counties that I know of in that area.
2	Q	What was that piece of equipment at the North
3		Ranch, would that apply to that piece of equipment,
4		too?
5	A	The Grade-all?
6	Q	Yes, would it apply to it, too?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Which Chapa was it, Rudolfo or Ruben Chapa?
9	A	Ruben Chapa.
10	Q	You remember him testifying?
11	A	Yes, he is my godso
12	Q	Did you cosign his note to get him any money that
13		you recall?
14	A	I don't recall whether I cosigned the note or not.
15	Q	What was the story about the wife and his problem
16		with their baby; did you assist them?
17	A	No, that was Rudolfo.
18	Q	You helped
19	<u> </u>	MR. ODAM: I object on the grounds of
20		relevancy as to help he gave on Rudolfo;
21		what is the relationship there?
22		MR. MITCHELL: Well, the young man and
23		lady testified previously, and I asked them
24		their motive for testifying, and they denied
25		it was critical.
1	1	•

. 1		MR. ODAM: You're going back to
2		Paragraph 1?
3		THE MASTER: No, I did think they pretty
4		much admitted Judge Carrillo had helped them
5		get a doctor for that child while in Laredo.
6		MR. MITCHELL: If that is the way the
7		Court remembers it, I thought they denied it.
8		MR. ODAM: I am sorry. I was out of
. 9		the courtroom at that time and I am sorry,
10		I thought you were asking about someone
11		other than Rulan Chapa.
12		Who is this particular article related
13		to?
14	Q	(By Mr. Mitchell) Well, there had been a closeness
15		up to when, within the family of the Parrs and
16	1	Carrillos?
17	A	We were very close until the Parr and Carrillo
- 18		split, up until that time, they were close as well.
19	Q	One young man well, strike that.
20		When was the split?
21	A	In April or May of 1974.
22	Q	You understand, of course, the judges under the
23		state system are political animals, do you not?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q.	And they count on the vote of the public?

].	
1	A	Yes, sir.
2	Q	And you keep the vote lined up for that, do you
3		not?
4	A	I want every vote that is available.
5	Q	And the Chapas have announced and run in
6		opposition to you, have they not?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And would you characterize them as allies or on
9		the opposite side of the political fence from you?
10	A	Opposition.
11	Q	Now, I am going to ask you, please, sir, in the
12		next series of questions
13		MR. MITCHELL: Judge, these will
14		overlap, Roman VII, VIII, IX, X and XI. I
15		am going to exclude XI for the time being.
16		THE MASTER: So this goes to VII, VIII,
17	9. 4	IX and X?
18		MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir, and XII. I
19		could separate them, I guess, and let's see.
20		I can separate them to VIII, IX and X and
21		then the other group.
22		THE MASTER: Are you omitting VII?
23		MR. MITCHELL: No, sir.
24		THE MASTER: For the record, say again
25		what the next series of questions will relate

to.

2

1

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22 Q

23

24

25

MR. MITCHELL: VII, VIII, IX, X, and they are, of course, separate checks, and then I will go into XII and then end up with XI.

THE MASTER: As I understand it, then, the question of his taking the stand and opening him up for cross-examination has now become moot if you go into this series of questions.

MR. ODAM: That is right, except for Paragraph IV.

Your Honor, I might say MR. MITCHELL: that the exhibits pertaining to Article VII would be E-57 through E-66; Article VIII. E-62, 63, 67, 68, 69 and 70, and Article IX is E-71 through 76; Article X is E-78 through E-83 and Article XI would be E-84 through E-90; Article XII is 91 and 92 and the series 151 through 157, I believe it is.

(By Mr. Mitchell) Judge Carrillo, I am going to hand you, first of all, these checks, and I want you to separate the article that deals with the five thousand dollar item, which is XI and the bulldozer.

1		Let's start there first.	
2	A	Yes, sir.	
3	Q	I will ask you predicate questions. You do know	l
4		Rudolfo Couling?	
5	A	Yes, sir.	
6	Q	How long have you known him?	
7	A	All my life.	
8	Q	Give us your relationship from the first time you	
9		ran for county attorney, was he for you or agin'	
10]	you?	
11	A	I have known him all my life. Now, there was a	
12		political what we refer to as a political	
13		hurricane in 1955. He was with the Freedom Party,	
14		or considered to be such. I don't know whether	
15		he voted as such or not, but he was considered	
16		as such. Everybody sort of kissed and made up	
17		then and things came back to a whole United Party	
18		political group, which was called the Old Party.	
19	Q	Did that include you and the Parrs and Mr. Couling	5
20	A	Yes.	
21	Q	Okay. Go ahead.	
22	• A	Then we were together until the time of the Parr	
23		and Carrillo split in 1974 and he was over with	
24		the Parr faction at that time.	
25	Q	Mr. Couling has been a Parr man throughout the	

1		history of the political action, was he not?
2	A	There was a question as to whether he was in 1955.
3	Q	But other than that period in 1955, he has been a
4		Parr faction member?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And when the Carrillos got cross-wise with the
7		Parrs, which side did he go to?
8	A.	The Parr side.
9	Q	And that situation continues until this date?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And he was tax collector for the Duval
12		Independent School District, was he not?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Did you have occasion to take action on a petition
15		filed by the proper law enforcement agencies and
16		have occasion to have removed members of the
17		school board?
18	A	Not all of the school board. I removed four
19		members. I think it was four instead of five as
20		testified to.
21	Q	Was that on a petition by the district attorney
22		of Duval County?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And as a matter of fact, records were supposedly
25		removed from the school district's office and

destroyed? 1. 2 A Yes. 3 Q And that included also litigation? Yes, the D.A. first started an investigation. Α Q Tell us the date. Approximately February, 1975. 6 A He started 7 subpoensing records from the various governmental agencies and then there was a refusal at first 8 9 to bring in the records to the Grand Jury. 10 was the first time I found out about the 11 investigation. Those who wanted them, and those 12 who wanted relief, and I ordered that they be 13 produced. 14 Then Mr. Couling would not let the folks from 15 the Corpus Christi Caller in to see them. Q So suit was filed in your court by the Corpus 16 17 Christi Caller Times under the Public Information 18 Act demanding an order be issued to comply with 19 their demand to deliver those records? 20 Yes. A 21 Was that tried in your court? Q 22 Ä Yes, it was, and I ordered they be permitted to 23 go over the records and then the next thing we 24 had was a picture of Mr. Couling with a shredder. 25 Mr. Couling destroyed and shredded those records?

Q

I don't know who took the picture, but it 1 2 was in the paper, and the next thing I knew, the 3 D.A. was filing removal proceedings because they were destroying the records of the school district. 5 Q Was that filed with you? Yes. I told them, if you prepare them, I will A . 7 consider them. Q Did he prepare them? . He did and I removed the four trustees and named A replacements and they in turn went in and fired 10 11 Mr. Rudolfo Couling. 12 What was that date? Q 13 A It was around May, I would say, April or May of 14 1975. 15 Q And since that date, Brother Couling has testified against you on at least two occasions that we know 16 17 of? 18 Three that I know of. A 19 Well, two that he has done so and the third 20 one was at the time of the impeachment hearing 21 in Austin and he was standing there at the door. 22 Q Well. after 1974 ---23 Well, after the Parr-Carrillo split-up, when I 24 was on a friendly term with them, the Chapas and 25 Mr. Ruiz and Mr. Rudolfo Couling, those folks were

1		not speaking to us any more.
2	Q	Actually, the rift began in 1974 then?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And culminated in 1975 with the action of your
5		court and on the order of the removal of the
6		trustees of the school board?
7	A .	Yes, sir.
9	Q	And the pleadings speak for themselves in that
9		action, do they not?
10	A	Yes, sir.
11	Q	You did not act outside of the record, did you?
12	A	No, sir.
13	Q	And you did not act beyond the law in any
14		instance?
15	A	No, sir.
16	Q	Do you have a conspiracy or agreement with Arnulfo
17		Guerra to destroy the school board or these
18	}	gentlemen?
19	A	No.
20	Q	Did you have in the past, setting aside the
21		business transaction which I make a subject of
22	<u> </u>	special inquiry, did you have any dealings with
23		Mr. Couling throughout the years?
24	A	Yes, sir.
25	Q	I want you to take out for me it has been

1		confirmed that E-69 is the same as Check E-151,
2		is that correct?
3	A	Yes, sir.
4	Q	And E-75 is the same as 152?
5	A	E-75?
6	Q	Yes, sir, is the same as E-152?
7	A	Yes, sir.
8	Q	And E-76, the same as E-153?
9	A	E-76?
10	Q	Yes, the same as 153, one is a Xerox and one is an
11		original?
12	A	I don't see an E-76.
13		THE MASTER: No, E-76 or is that
. 14		R-76 well, I am thinking of R-76.
15		THE WITNESS: I don't see an E-76,
16		Mr. Mitchell.
17	Q	(By Mr. Mitchell) Do you have E-153 there?
18	A	Yes, I have that and there it is, a copy of
19		it.
20	Q	Well, that check that is a copy that is numbered -
21	<u> </u>	here we go; it is clipped over and I so the
22		testimony would be that E-76 is the same as E-153?
23	A	Yes, sir.
24	Q	Is that correct?
25	A	Yes, sir.
		.

1	Q	And E-82 is the same as E-154?
2	A	Yes, sir.
3	Q	Now, let's take, first of all and E-65 is a
4		copy only?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	E-156, which is the original of a check, that is
7		for a thousand dollars, do you have that?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And 155 and 151 and 157, 152, 153 and 154, get
10		those in front of you.
11	A	All right, sir.
12	Q	Now, setting aside E-65, let's start and also
13		setting aside 154, let's direct our attention to
14		156.
15	A	Yes, sir.
16	Q	At any time, did you have any character of
17		agreement with Rudolfo Couling and/or Ramiro
18		Carrillo, as regards a partnership which was to
19		be named Benavides Implement and Hardware?
20 .	A	No, sir, I heard that for the first time in this
21		courtroom.
22	Q	Did you have any character of partnership or
23	-	agreement with Rudolfo Couling where he could
24		bind you to do anything?
25	. A	No, sir.

1	Q	Now, the checks, 156 was that check, first of
2		all, given to him strike that.
3	ı.	Given to you by Mr. Couling pursuant to any
4		conspiracy, agreement to steal from Duval County
5		the sum of one thousand dollars?
6	A	No, sir.
7	Q	What does the check recite on its face?
8	A	Counter from O. P.Carrillo drugstore.
9	Q	Did you own a drugstore?
10	A	Yes, O. P.'s Drug.
11	Q	When did you start that?
12	A	I started the drugstore many years ago. It really
13		never got off the ground, because I could not
14		find a pharmacist to run it for me. I set up the
15		fixtures and furniture and so forth for it.
16	Q	Were those items carried on your tax return?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Does the fact stated that you sold the counter to
19		Mr. Couling, is that stated on that check?
20	, A	Yes.
21	Q	Tell us about that.
22	A	I don't remember the exact date, but I know I
23		sold them to him.
24	Q	Was that transaction recorded on your tax return?
25	A	Yes, sir.
	ļ	

1	Q	Was the check you are now holding in your hand
2	 	reported on your tax return?
3	A	Well, it was given to Mr. Kirkland and is shown
4		on my income tax.
5	Q	Is it your testimony the recital on 156 is correct?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And your testimony in this court is that the check
8		was not issued to you pursuant to any scheme or
9		agreement by you or your brother Ramiro or
10		Rudolfo Couling to steal that sum of money from
11		Duval County or any other governmental agency, is
12		that correct?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	I will ask you, that on Exhibits 151 through 158,
15		and ask you if that is the same and correct
16	·	situation as were those, there was no conspiracy
17		or agreement or anything of that nature?
18	A	No, sir.
19	Q	And what is that check you have there?
20	A	155.
21	Q	What is it denominated?
22	A	As a loan.
23	Q	Was there a loan from you to Mr. Couling?
24	A	Well, in effect.
25	Q	Tell us what that means.

1	Ą	I owned a water well rig that was rented by the
2		county way before my brother became a county
3		commissioner and to this very day has not been
4		returned to our possession.
5	Q	Was there other equipment that Benavides Implement
6		and Hardware would lease out on your account?
7	A	The leasing out of this equipment was done through
8		the farm and ranch, I had nothing whatsoever to
9		do with it or the running of the farm and ranch.
10	Q	I notice in R-91, a detailed breakdown of lease
11		rental from Benavious Implement and Hardware.
12	A	Well, like I say, the equipment was rented and was
13	1	rented through the Farm and Ranch Supply, which
14		was run by Gonzalez at the time. I had nothing
15		to do with that.
16	Q	Judge Carrillo, look through this check, E-157,
17		and tell us what that says.
18	A	Tubing, but I didn't get through on 155.
19	Q	Go ahead on 155.
20	A	The water well rig was leased, supposedly through
21		the county, or to the county, but they were
22		drilling irrigation wells at George Parr's and
23	!	Archer Parr's ranch. We were supposed to be
24		getting rental on it and supposedly the rent was
25		not coming in and I nobody seemed to be able

to push it through and I flat said I need some money and either we will pull this rig in or we will get the money. He didn't know how much I had coming and he said look, I will give you two hundred dollars and we will put it down as a loan and when we figure out how much it is, I will give you the rest. I said, you give me the money and later, when we get to that, I will go on with it.

- Q Was that rig being worked by the county?
- At the beginning, they were drilling wells, when they owned right-of-ways. They would go through a pasture and leave one side without any windmills and the county, that is part of the compensation, through the condemnation proceedings, agreed to drill water wells and what have you.

CHATHAM & ASSOCIATES
COURT REPORTERS
717 ANTELOPE - GUARANTY BANK PLAZA
CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS 78401

1	MR. MITCHELL: Excuse me, Judge Meyers,
2	may I ask the Court what the Court's inten-
3	tions were with regards to working, I cannot
4	finish and I don't think really within the
5	next ten minutes. Perhaps if the Court
6	wants to work for the balance of the after-
7	noon, I know we have been going since eight
8	this morning, we might take a shot at com-
9	pleting it today.
10	THE MASTER: Well, you mean not even
11	break for a merl?
12	MR. MITCHELL: I would like to do that.
13	THE MASTER: I get all shaky.
14	MR. ODAM: Your Honor, if I might make
15	a suggestion.
16	THE MASTER: I don't see any point in
17	tt.
18	MR. MITCHELL: All right.
19	THE MASTER: Because you are going to
. 20	have two days of cross-examination, I
21	suspect.
22	MR. ODAM: Well, my suggestion was
23	that, of course, it is up to the Master,
,24	on his time schedule, but if we could take

break for lunch and Mr. Mitchell were to

21.

come back and complete his direct examination, I would prefer that to give us at least a weekend to get prepared for crossexamination on the entire matter.

The reason I say that is because we are not prepared, obviously at this time, and I would like to go shead and prepare the entire cross on I through XII through the weekend. I am saying take a break for lunch and finish the direct this afternoon.

MR. MITCHELL: I suggest, Judge Meyers, if we are not going to complete it, I would like to have an opportunity to --

THE WITNESS: I would like to go on through and get you and I together and I have got some folks that I have got coming in so we are not going to complete it.

MR. MITCHELL: We will just go ahead and recess for the weekend then.

THE MASTER: We can decide, Mr. Odam, if Mr. Mitchell should say at 3:30 this afternoon, say that is all I have and then Monday morning says I have got a little bit more before cross-examination starts and I would have to let him have a little bit

more.

MR. ODAM: I understand.

THE MASTER | I think we ought to break.

THE WITNESS: A have some folks coming in, Your Honor, depending on the old schedule, you know.

THE MASTER: Well, we will be in recess until 8:30 Monday morning and I won't expect a phone call and you will not expect one from me, in view of things, we will just be here at 8:30 Monday morning.

(Whereupon the hearing was in recess at 1:05 p.m. on December 5th until 8:30 a.m. on Monday, December the 8th.)